OFFICE

BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPEEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

(A) [] Publication in OJ

(B) [] To Chairmen and Members

(C) [X] To Chairmen

(D) [] No distribution

DECISION of 23 February 2006

T 0467/03 - 3.5.01 Case Number:

Application Number: 00110614.5

Publication Number: 1028372

G06F 9/46 IPC:

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Data transfer with expanded clipboard formats

Applicant:

MICROSOFT CORPORATION

Opponent:

Headword:

Clipboard formats IV/MICROSOFT

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 52(1)(2)(3), 54, 56, 76(1) 83, 84, 123(2) RPBA Art. 10b

Keyword:

"Late-filed request responding to objections - admitted (yes)" "Method of operating a computer - excluded as being a computer program (no)"

"Novelty/inventive step (yes)"

Decisions cited:

T 1173/97, T 1194/97, T 0258/03

Catchword:



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets

Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Case Number: T 0467/03 - 3.5.01

DECISION

of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.01 of 23 February 2006

Appellant: MICROSOFT CORPORATION

One Microsoft Way

Redmond, WA 98052-6399 (US)

Representative: Grünecker, Kinkeldey,

Stockmair & Schwanhäusser

Anwaltssozietät

Maximilianstrasse 58 D-80538 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted 28 November 2002 refusing European application No. 00110614.5

pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: S. Steinbrener

Members: K. Bumes

G. Weiss

- 1 - T 0467/03

Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal lies from the Examining Division's decision to refuse divisional application No. 00 110 614.5 for lack of inventive step in view of

D1: Object Oriented Clipboard Implementation, IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, Vol. 34, No. 10A, March 1992, pages 114 to 116, XP000302245, and

D2: IBM, OS/2 2.0 Presentation Manager Programming Guide, March 1992, Chapter 31 "Clipboards" and Chapter 33 "Direct Manipulation", XP002140712.

- II. The present application is one of six divisional applications originating from European patent application No. 95 119 547.8. The parent application and five of the divisional applications have proceeded to the appeal stage before the Board (T 424/03; T 411/03, T 425/03, T 467/03, T 468/03, T 469/03). The Board processed the parent and the five divisional applications in parallel to ensure a synoptical handling of the appeal cases.
- III. The appellant requests that the decision under appeal be set aside and a patent be granted in the following version:
 - claims 1 to 4 as submitted at oral proceedings before the Board on 23 February 2006;
 - description: pages 1, 5, 7 to 9 as originally filed;

- 2 - T 0467/03

- description: pages 2 to 4, 6, 10 to 12 as submitted at the oral proceedings;
- drawings: Figures 1 to 6 as originally filed.

IV. Claim 1 reads:

"1. A method in a computer system (10) having a clipboard for performing data transfer of data in a clipboard format, said method comprising the steps of:

providing several clipboard formats including a text clipboard format, a file contents clipboard format, a file group descriptor clipboard format, and an ID list clipboard format for holding an ID list for a group of objects, each object having an associated ID that identifies it,

selecting data that is not a file for a data transfer operation,

using the file contents clipboard format to hold said data by converting said selected data into converted data of said file contents clipboard format and storing the converted data as a data object,

using the file group descriptor clipboard format to hold a file descriptor holding descriptive information about the data that is to be encapsulated into a file during the data transfer operation,

completing the data transfer by providing a handle to said data object, using said handle to paste said data of said data object to a data sink, using said descriptive information to enable the computer system to create a file at the data sink and encapsulating the data object into said file."

Method claims 2 and 3 depend on claim 1. Claim 4 is directed to a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions adapted to cause the computer system to perform a method according to one of claims 1 to 3.

V. The appellant's substantive arguments are summarised as follows.

The claimed method modifies the internal operation of a computer system and is therefore technical. Moreover, the method achieves a non-obvious improvement over the appellant's prior operating system Windows 3.1 because the available prior art does not suggest a clipboard format which enables non-file data to be transferred for encapsulation into a file at a receiving application program (data sink) which expects to handle a file format (rather than a text format, for example). The claimed combination of a file contents clipboard format and a file group descriptor format enables the computer system to create a file at the data sink and to encapsulate the transferred data into the file. Prior to the invention, the obvious approach was either to refrain from communicating non-file data to an application which cannot handle such data, or to set up a common standard for the sending and the receiving application programs in the first place (e.g. to send file data if the receiving application expects file data).

D2, chapter 31 discloses only three clipboard formats (text, bitmap, metafile). While additional ("private") formats are supported, they are known only for use within an application (D2, page 31-5). The data

- 4 - T 0467/03

exchange according to chapter 33 of D2 does not use clipboard formats for data transfers.

Regarding D3: EP-A-0 622 730, which was cited by the Examining Division in the procedure relating to the parent application

No. 95 119 547.8 (T 424/03), the appellant notes that the claimed method transfers data in a plurality of clipboard formats whereas each data object (scrap object) created by the method of D3 stores data in a single clipboard format (D3, column 7, lines 24 to 29). While also setting out from Windows 3.1, D3 is not concerned with transferring non-file data but serves a different purpose (persistent data storage).

VI. The Board pronounced its decision at the end of the oral proceedings.

Reasons for the Decision

1. Article 10b RPBA - Late filed request

The amended claim set submitted at the oral proceedings before the Board responded to objections raised when the appellant was summoned to oral proceedings in relation to the present case, its copending parent application and the copending divisional applications. One of those objections was that the description presented the file contents clipboard format and the file group descriptor clipboard format as closely interrelated. Since these formats were considered as essential to each other, it was not surprising that the appellant joined them to form the amended claim 1 which

- 5 - T 0467/03

has been distinguished from claim 1 of the parent application by the inclusion of a further clipboard format.

Therefore, the Board admitted the late-filed request into the proceedings.

- 2. Article 76(1) EPC Comparison with the parent application
- 2.1 In the following, the published version of the parent application will be denoted

M0 = EP-A-0 717 354,

and the published version of the present divisional application will be denoted

Div3 = EP-A-1 028 372.

2.2 Paragraphs 0001 to 0032 of the description in Div3 are identical to the corresponding paragraphs of the parent application MO. Paragraphs 0033 to 0065 of Div3 reflect the 33 claims of MO. Paragraph 0066 of Div3 resumes the last paragraph of the description of MO. Figures 1 to 6 of Div3 duplicate those of MO. Claims 1 and 2 of Div3 correspond to claims 32 and 33 of MO.

Hence, the divisional application does not extend beyond the content of the parent application MO and, thus, meets the requirements of Article 76(1) EPC.

3. Article 123(2) EPC - Admissibility of amendments

The Board is satisfied that the amendments made after the filing date of the divisional application are based on original disclosure. As the content of the parent application M0 is fully included in the present divisional application (see point 2.2 *supra*) and in each of the other divisional applications processed by the Board, uniform reference will be made to the parent application.

- 3.1 The amended claim 1 sets out from claim 1 as originally filed and published (MO) and has been amended as follows.
- 3.1.1 While the original version of claim 1 (M0) specifies the file contents clipboard format as a format for holding "contents of a file" with non-file data being provided for a data transfer in that format (M0, page 6, lines 26/27), the amended version defines generally that the file contents clipboard format is to hold non-file "data". That generalisation is based on M0, page 4, line 24, and Figure 3, steps 37 and 38.
- 3.1.2 The claimed method is specified as using several clipboard formats including a text clipboard format, a file contents clipboard format and a file group descriptor format. The text clipboard format forms part of the starting point of the invention (Microsoft Windows 3.1) mentioned in the introductory portion of the description (M0, page 2, lines 14 to 16). The clipboard may simultaneously hold the same data in different clipboard formats (M0, page 2, lines 16/17). M0 expands the number of clipboard formats (M0, page 2, lines 33/34) to supplement those provided by the Windows 3.1 operating system (M0, page 2, lines 28/29; page 3, lines 35/36). M0 enumerates a number of expanded clipboard formats of the preferred embodiment (M0, page 3, lines 34/35), in particular the file

contents clipboard format and the file group descriptor format (M0, page 4, lines 22 to 31), those formats being closely interrelated (M0, page 2, lines 35 to 38; page 4, lines 52/53; page 5, lines 39 to 43).

- 3.1.3 The selected data is converted into the additional clipboard format and stored in a data object (M0, page 3, lines 37 to 40; page 5, lines 44 to 46; Figure 2, steps 30, 32). In particular, where the file contents clipboard format is used, the selected data must be converted into that format (M0, page 4, lines 26 to 28; Figure 3, step 38).
- 3.1.4 The file group descriptor format is used to hold descriptive information about the non-file data that is to be encapsulated into a file (MO, page 2, lines 35 to 38; page 4, lines 51 to 54; claims 6 and 11).
- 3.1.5 The data transfer is completed (MO, page 4, line 28; Figure 3, step 39) by providing a handle to the data object (MO, page 3, lines 43/44; Figure 2, step 34), using the handle to paste the data of the data object to a data sink (M0, page 3, lines 44/45; Figure 2, step 36), using the descriptive information to enable the computer system to create a file at the data sink (M0, page 4, lines 52/53; page 5, lines 37/38), and encapsulating the data object into the file (M0, page 4, lines 29/30 and 52/53; page 5, line 43; Figure 3, step 40). The file group descriptor clipboard format supports the transfer of a data group into a group of files (M0, page 5, lines 35 to 43). As the file group descriptor may hold "one or more file descriptors" (M0, page 4, lines 51/52), the creation of one file at the

- 8 - T 0467/03

data sink (as covered by claim 1) corresponds to the minimum number disclosed.

- 3.1.6 An ID list clipboard format according to the second paragraph of amended claim 1 is based on M0, page 2, lines 54 to 57; page 6, lines 15 to 17; claims 32 and 33.
- 3.2 Claim 2 of the amended claim set is based on claim 32 of MO. Claim 3 is based on page 6, line 15 of MO.
- 3.3 The instruction medium claim 4 is based on the preceding method claims and the fact that the disclosed method for a computer system must be run by computer-executable instructions stored on a computer-readable medium (Figure 1, memory 20).
- 4. Article 84 EPC Clarity of claim 1 and support by the description
- 4.1 The aforementioned bases for amended claim 1 show at the same time that the claim is supported by the description. Embodiments of the overall method of claim 1 are described in relation to the flow charts of Figures 2 to 4.
- 4.2 Moreover, the amended claim 1 makes clear that the file contents clipboard format and the file group descriptor format are provided in addition to the conventional text clipboard format and must not be confused with it. Further, although the application fails to provide a comprehensive definition of file data or non-file data, the Board is satisfied that the skilled person is able to draw a line on the basis of general knowledge

- 9 - T 0467/03

according to which file data is considered to be organised in a file directory (e.g. a hierarchical directory).

It is further clear that the method steps make use of the claimed clipboard formats without being restricted to a clipboard operation. In other words, a drag-and-drop operation using clipboard formats but not using the clipboard is not ruled out by the wording of claim 1 (see MO, page 2, lines 25 to 27).

Finally, it is clear that the ID list clipboard format specified in the second paragraph of claim 1 distinguishes the scope of that claim from the claims of the parent application and each copending divisional application. Hence, no issue of double patenting arises.

- 5. Article 83 EPC Enabling disclosure
- 5.1 The application as filed seeks to increase the number of data formats usable to transfer data from a source (e.g. a first window, document or the like) to a destination (e.g. a second window, document or the like) via the clipboard of a data processing system or via a drag-and-drop operation which uses clipboard formats as part of an object linking and embedding (OLE) protocol (MO, statement of the problem, page 2, lines 28/29).
- 5.2 The solution consists generally in providing expanded clipboard formats (M0, page 2, lines 33/34). A specific embodiment is a file contents clipboard format (CF_FILECONTENTS) that allows "data that is not a file" to be transferred in such a way that the data is finally encapsulated into a file (M0, page 2,

- 10 - T 0467/03

lines 34/35; page 4, lines 22 to 31; Figure 3). To this end, the file contents clipboard format is accompanied by a file group descriptor clipboard format (CF_FILEGROUPDESCRIPTOR) which enables the resulting file to be created (MO, page 2, lines 35 to 38; page 4, lines 22/23; page 4, line 51 to page 5, line 43).

Instead of transferring data directly, a "handle" (unique identifier) which points to the data is used to retrieve the data from a memory and to paste the data into a destination file (MO, page 2, lines 13/14; page 3, lines 37 to 45; Figure 2, step 34).

5.3 The function and structure of the file group descriptor format are disclosed from page 4, line 51 to page 5, line 34 of MO.

The file contents clipboard format is described by way of its function, i.e. its ability to transfer non-file data in such a manner that the non-file data can be encapsulated into a file at the data sink with the help of descriptive information provided by the file group descriptor clipboard format. Thus, the file contents clipboard format and the file group descriptor clipboard format jointly assist in changing the data format during the transfer operation, whereas in conventional clipboard formats the data either has to be accepted in the form offered or cannot be used by the receiving application program.

An exemplary property of the file contents clipboard format is disclosed in functional terms: "for holding the contents of a file" (MO, page 2, lines 34/35; claims 1 and 5). Although that format is not described

- 11 - T 0467/03

in detail, the Board judges that the skilled person is able to implement the desired function of the format on the basis of common knowledge and relying on the accompanying file group descriptor format documented in MO.

- 5.4 While MO discloses the ID list clipboard format almost in passing (page 2, lines 54 to 57; page 6, lines 15 to 17; claims 32 and 33), the Board is satisfied that the skilled person is able to implement such a clipboard format on the basis of its functionality and as part of the general teaching of MO to provide an expanded number of clipboard formats.
- 6. Article 52(1)(2)(3) EPC Eligibility for patent protection
- 6.1 The Board concurs with the appellant in considering the claimed method as an invention within the meaning of Article 52(1) EPC.

Claim 1 relates to a method implemented in a computer system. T 258/03 - Auction method/Hitachi (OJ EPO 2004, 575) states that a method using technical means is an invention within the meaning of Article 52(1) EPC. A computer system including a memory (clipboard) is a technical means, and consequently the claimed method has technical character in accordance with established case law.

Moreover, the Board would like to emphasise that a method implemented in a computer system represents a sequence of steps actually performed and achieving an effect, and not a sequence of computer-executable

- 12 - T 0467/03

instructions (i.e. a computer program) which just have the potential of achieving such an effect when loaded into, and run on, a computer. Thus, the Board holds that the claim category of a computer-implemented method is distinguished from that of a computer program. Even though a method, in particular a method of operating a computer, may be put into practice with the help of a computer program, a claim relating to such a method does not claim a computer program in the category of a computer program. Hence, present claim 1 cannot relate to a computer program as such.

6.2 The Board also considers the claimed method steps to contribute to the technical character of the invention.

These steps solve a technical problem by technical means in that functional data structures (clipboard formats) are used independently of any cognitive content (see T 1194/97 - Data structure product/Philips; OJ EPO 2000, 525) in order to enhance the internal operation of a computer system with a view to facilitating the exchange of data among various application programs. The claimed steps thus provide a general purpose computer with a further functionality: the computer assists the user in transferring non-file data into files.

6.3 Claim 4 is directed to a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions (i.e. a computer program) on it to cause the computer system to perform the claimed method. The subject-matter of claim 4 has technical character since it relates to a computer-readable medium, i.e. a technical product involving a carrier (see decision T 258/03 - Auction

- 13 - T 0467/03

method/Hitachi cited above). Moreover, the computer-executable instructions have the potential of achieving the above-mentioned further technical effect of enhancing the internal operation of the computer, which goes beyond the elementary interaction of any hardware and software of data processing (see T 1173/97 - Computer program product/IBM; OJ EPO 1999, 609). The computer program recorded on the medium is therefore not considered to be a computer program as such, and thus also contributes to the technical character of the claimed subject-matter.

7. Article 54 EPC - Novelty

7.1 The Board agrees with the appellant in regarding the operating system Windows 3.1 (released in 1992) as the closest available prior art. As acknowledged in the introductory portion of the description (M0, page 2, lines 8 to 24), that operating system uses two clipboard formats: a bitmap clipboard format and a text clipboard format. The same data may be held simultaneously in different clipboard formats (M0, page 2, lines 16/17) which have to be created by the sending application program. The receiving application program will pick up the data in a format understood by the receiving application, if such a format is included in the range of clipboard formats.

While Windows 3.1 is known to use handles (M0, page 2, lines 13/14), it does not appear to provide a clipboard format which enables non-file data (e.g. an embedding in a mail message, see M0, page 4, lines 22 to 31) to be transferred to applications designed to encapsulate data into a file. (Non-file data in the file contents

- 14 - T 0467/03

clipboard format is not a conventional text block copied into the clipboard since text is to be stored in the conventional text clipboard format which exists in addition to the file contents clipboard format.)

Therefore, the method of claim 1 is novel over Windows 3.1 in that the method adds a pair of clipboard formats (the file contents clipboard format in conjunction with the file group descriptor format) which allows non-file data to be transferred with additional descriptive information such that the data sink is in a position to create a file for encapsulation of the data. The ID list clipboard format provides an additional format to claim 1.

- 7.2 Similar comments apply to D1 and D2 which describe the use of a clipboard for exchanging data between application programs but fail to disclose a format that can change in character (from non-file data to file data) during a data transfer operation. Hence, the method of claim 1 is novel over D1 and D2.
- According to D3, so-called scrap objects may be created by either a drag-and-drop mechanism or by a clipboard mechanism (D3, column 2, lines 38 to 51). To use the clipboard mechanism, data items are selected to be extracted (copied or cut) from a document (Figure 4, steps 44 and 46). The user switches to the application where he wishes to add the extracted information and chooses a "Create Scrap" command (Figure 4, step 48).

Unlike a clipboard, the scrap objects hold extracted information persistently until the information is to be transferred (D3, column 2, line 57 to column 3, line 2).

- 15 - T 0467/03

D3 thus addresses the problem that users often inadvertently delete the contents of the clipboard by transferring other information to the clipboard (D3, column 1, lines 22 to 29). Moreover, the scrap object allows transfers between application programs that support different transfer mechanisms. For instance, a first application that supports only drag-and-drop operations may transfer information via a scrap object to a second application program that supports only clipboard transfer operations (D3, column 3, lines 2 to 8).

As compared to D3, the method of claim 1 uses specific clipboard formats (a file contents clipboard format and a file group descriptor format) for transferring non-file data and encapsulating it into a file, whereas the transferable scrap objects of D3 are generated in one or more of the conventional clipboard formats of Windows 3.1 (D3, column 1, lines 10 to 22; column 3, lines 47 to 49) which formats are not concerned with transferring non-file data.

Hence, the method of claim 1 is novel over D3.

- 8. Article 56 EPC Inventive step
- 8.1 With respect to the closest prior art (Windows 3.1), the method of claim 1 solves the problem of how to facilitate a data exchange across different data formats, in particular when transferring non-file data.
- 8.2 The solution provides for a file contents clipboard format and a file group descriptor format which interact to allow data to be sent in a first format

- 16 - T 0467/03

(non-file data) and processed in a second format (file encapsulation) at the receiving data sink.

- 8.3 While Windows 3.1 is able to copy a block of text or graphics into the clipboard in corresponding clipboard formats at the same time and to paste the data into a receiving document or window in one of the clipboard formats offered, a clipboard format adding the aforementioned formatting metamorphosis (encapsulation into a file upon transfer of non-file data) does not derive in an obvious manner from the pre-existing operating system.
- 8.4 Similar comments apply to the general description of a clipboard provided by D1 and D2 (see point 7.2 supra).
- 8.5 Like the present application, D3 sets out from Windows 3.1 (D3, column 1, lines 10 to 29), but the method of D3 serves a different purpose: It stores each data object persistently (scrap object having a file name, D3, column 6, line 42 to column 7, line 15) in order to avoid a data loss when the user shuts down the computer system or copies fresh data into the clipboard. D3 does not suggest expanding the number of clipboard formats. In particular, it does not suggest a clipboard format capable of converting a data format upon a data transfer. Notably, D3 is not concerned with transferring non-file data and, thus, does not suggest encapsulating non-file data into a file at a data sink.
- 8.6 Finally, an additional ID list clipboard format is neither anticipated nor rendered obvious by the available prior art.

- 17 - T 0467/03

- 8.7 Therefore, the Board considers the method of claim 1 as involving an inventive step.
- 8.8 The dependent method claims 2 and 3 include the steps considered non-obvious in claim 1.
- 8.9 The computer-readable medium according to claim 4 is regarded as non-obvious by virtue of its reference to one of the method claims.
- 9. The description has been adapted to the present version of claims.
- 10. The Board concludes that the application and the invention to which it relates meet the requirements of the EPC.

- 18 - T 0467/03

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

1. The decision under appeal is set aside.

The case is remitted to the department of first instance with the order to grant a patent in the following version:

- claims 1 to 4 as submitted at the oral proceedings;

- description: pages 1, 5, 7 to 9 as originally filed;

- description: pages 2 to 4, 6, 10 to 12 as submitted at the oral proceedings;

- drawings: Figures 1 to 6 as originally filed.

The Registrar: The Chairman:

P. Guidi S. Steinbrener