BESCHWERDEKAMMERN	BOARDS OF APPEAL OF	CHAMBRES DE RECOURS
DES EUROPÄISCHEN	THE EUROPEAN PATENT	DE L'OFFICE EUROPEEN
PATENTAMTS	OFFICE	DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

(A) [] Publication in OJ(B) [] To Chairmen and Members(C) [] To Chairmen(D) [X] No distribution

DECISION of 3 November 2003

Case Number:	T 0688/03 - 3.2.2			
Application Number:	95908158.9			
Publication Number:	0746260			
IPC:	A61B 19/00			
Language of the proceedings:	EN			

Title of invention:

Protection of living systems from electromagnetic fields

Applicant:

THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA

Opponent:

-

Headword:

-

Relevant legal provisions: EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 65(1)

Keyword: "Missing Statements of Grounds"

Decisions cited:

_

Catchword:

_



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office Office européen des brevets

Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Case Number: T 0688/03 - 3.2.2

DECISION of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.2 of 3 November 2003

Decision under appeal:	Decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office posted 22 January 2003 refusing European application No. 95908158.9 pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.	
Representative:	Laight, Martin Harvey W.H. Beck, Greener & Co. 7 Stone Buildings Lincoln's Inn London WC2A 3SZ (GB)	
Appellant:	THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA 620 Michigan Avenue, N.E. Washington DC 20064 (US)	

Composition of the Board:

Chairman:	W.	D.	Weiß
Members:	Μ.	G.	Noël
	U.	J.	Tronser

Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal contests the decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office dispatched by registered letter with advice of delivery to the Applicant on 22 January 2003 and concerning the refusal of the European patent application No. 95 908 158.9.

> The Appellant filed a Notice of Appeal by a letter received on 24 March 2003 and paid the appeal fee on the same day. No statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed. The Notice of Appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement of grounds of appeal pursuant to Article 108 EPC.

- II. By a communication dated 10 July 2003 sent by registered post, the Registrar of the Board informed the Appellant that no statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The Appellant was informed about the possibility of filing a request for re-establishment of rights under Article 122 EPC and was invited to file observations within two months.
- III. No answer has been given within the given time limit to the Registry's communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 65(1) EPO)

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:

V. Commare

W. D. Weiß