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## Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal is from the decision of the Examining Division, posted on 21 December 2004 , refusing European patent application No. 00113152.3 filed on 29 June 2000 .
II. In the decision under appeal the Examining Division considered that the claims according to the Applicant's main and auxiliary request did not meet the requirements of the EPC, in particular those of Article $52(1)$ and 56.
III. The Appellant (Applicant) lodged an appeal, received at the EPO on 19 January 2005, against this decision and simultaneously paid the appeal fee. The statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed on 20 April 2005.
IV. In a communication dated 18 August 2005 the Board expressed the preliminary opinion that claim 1 of the main request did not seem to meet the requirement of Article 84 EPC. Furthermore attention was drawn to D5 which in combination with the teachings of $D 3$ appeared to be pertinent when considering inventive step.
V. Oral proceedings were held on 11 November 2005 in which the following documents again played a role.
(D3) US-A-4 893968
(D5) EP-A-0 893185

The Appellant requested that the decision under appeal be set aside and that a patent be granted on the basis of the main request filed with the letter of 12 January 2004, or alternatively the auxiliary request filed during the oral proceedings.

Claim 1 of the main request reads as follows:
"A rotary multi-tooth end milling cutter including a cutting end with helical cutting teeth and a shank, wherein the tool cross-sectional area of the cutter core increases gradually from the cutting end towards the cutter shank and wherein the tooth width increases gradually from the cutting end towards the cutter shank."

Claim 1 of the auxiliary request has the following wording:
"A rotary multi-tooth end milling cutter including a cutting end with helical cutting teeth and a shank, wherein the total cross-sectional area of the cutter core increases gradually from the cutting end towards the cutter shank, wherein the tooth width, when measured on the outside of the tooth and perpendicular to the direction of the helical extension of the tooth along the cutter shank, increases gradually from the cutting end towards the cutter shank and wherein the outside diameter of the cutting end remains constant along the length of the cutting end."
VI. In support of its requests the Appellant essentially made the following submissions:

Concerning the clarity of claim 1 according to the main request there was no difference in the result whether the distance was measured perpendicular to the rotational axis of the milling cutter or perpendicular to the direction of the cutting edge. In either case the respective dimension of the tooth width would increase gradually from the cutting end towards the cutter shank.

The amendments made to claim 1 of the auxiliary request were sufficiently disclosed in the application as originally filed (description page 6, second paragraph in connection with Figures 4 and 6).

As regards inventive step, the skilled person had no reason to combine the teachings of $D 3$ with those of $D 5$ because D3 related to a milling cutter, which is subjected to lateral bending forces, whereas D5 dealt with a twist drill, which is primarily constructed in order to withstand axial forces. Moreover, D5 did not disclose flutes having a width which decreased from the cutting end of the drill towards its shank portion.

## Reasons for the Decision

1. The appeal is admissible.
2. Main request - clarity and support

When considering the feature "the tooth width increases gradually from the cutting end towards the cutter shank" it is neither disclosed nor otherwise apparent to the skilled person where exactly the "width" should
be measured. The Appellant submitted that the result would be the same whether the distance was measured perpendicular to the rotational axis or perpendicular to the direction of the longitudinal cutting edge.

However, an "increase of tooth width" is derivable from the drawings only when such width is measured on the outside of the "tooth" and perpendicular to the direction of helical extension of the "tooth" along the cutter shank, whereas other ways of determining an increase of tooth width lack support.

Therefore, since further specification of the position where the tooth width should be measured is missing, claim 1 does not meet the requirement of Article 84 EPC.
3. Auxiliary request
3.1 Admissibility of amendments

The amended claim 1 overcomes the above clarity objection and also does not give rise to formal objections.
3.2 Novelty

Novelty of the subject-matter of claim 1 is also not in doubt since the milling cutter known from the closest prior art represented by D3 neither unambiguously shows nor implicitly contains the feature that the tooth width, when measured on the outside of the tooth and perpendicular to the direction of the helical extension of the tooth along the cutter shank, increases
gradually from the cutting end towards the cutter shank.

Inventive step
3.3.1 D3 discloses a rotary end milling cutter including a cutting end 32 with helical cutting teeth 44 and a shank 28, wherein the total cross-sectional area of the cutter core increases gradually from the cutting end towards the cutter shank and wherein the outside diameter of the cutting end remains constant along the length of the cutting end (Figures 4 to 9; column 3, lines 29 to 50.
3.3.2 The problem underlying the subject-matter of the patent application is to provide an end milling cutter which overcomes the disadvantages of the prior art and which offers improved stiffness while allowing adequate tooth depth for chip clearance (page 3, fourth paragraph). This problem is already solved by the end milling cutter known from D3. The objective remaining problem therefore consists in the provision of an alternative construction of an end milling cutter.
3.3.3 The alternative solution is characterised in that the tooth width, when measured on the outside of the tooth and perpendicular to the direction of the helical extension of the tooth along the cutter shank, increases gradually from the cutting end towards the cutter shank.
3.3.4 The skilled person in the technical field concerned is well-versed in the methods of manufacturing tools like drills and mills. His knowledge also comprises the
various processes for grinding the flutes. When looking for an alternative production method to form the flutes of the mill according to $D 3$, he is taught by D5 a method of grinding the flutes of a drill. Although the shape of the grinding wheel is not explicitly shown in that document, when regarding the outline of the flute end where the grinding wheel sinks into the cylindrical drill material (Figures 2, 3), the skilled person would immediately recognise that the outer contour of the grinding tool must have a toroidal shape. When carrying out the machining steps as described (page 3, lines 8 to 15) and shown in Figure 8, this results in a flute which increases gradually from the shank portion towards the cutting end, and vice versa as regards the tooth width (when measured on the outside of the tooth and perpendicular to the direction of the helical extension of the tooth along the cutter shank), which increases gradually from the cutting end towards the cutter shank.
3.3.5 Thus the skilled person applying the common grinding technique as shown in D5 in the production of an end milling cutter according to D3 arrives at the claimed solution in an obvious manner. Consequently the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step (Article 56 EPC).

Since the requirement of Article $52(1)$ EPC is not met, the requested patent cannot be granted on the European patent application.

## Order

## For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is dismissed.
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