PATENTAMTS

OFFICE

BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPEEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 29 May 2007

T 1811/06 - 3.2.07 Case Number:

Application Number: 01993527.9

Publication Number: 1339530

IPC: B24C 7/00

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Media control valve

Applicant:

U.S. Filter Surface Preparation Group, Inc.

Opponent:

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 65(1)

Keyword:

"Missing statement of grounds"

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Europäisches Patentamt European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets

Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Case Number: T 1811/06 - 3.2.07

DECISION
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.07
of 29 May 2007

Appellant: U.S. Filter Surface Preparation Group, Inc.

215 Union Boulevard

Suite 315

Lakewood, CO 80228 (US)

Representative: Earnshaw, Geoffrey Mark

Murgitroyd & Company 165-169 Scotland Street Glasgow G5 8PL (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted 13 June 2006 refusing European application No. 01993527.9

pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: H. Meinders
Members: P. O'Reilly

 ${\tt C.\ Holtz}$

- 1 - T 1811/06

Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal contests the decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office dated 13 June 2006 refusing the European Patent application No. 01 993 527.9.

The appellant (applicant) filed a notice of appeal on 23 August 2006 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day.

No statement of grounds was filed.

II. By a communication dated 11 December 2006 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the registry of the Board informed the appellant that no statement of grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible.

The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.

Attention was also drawn to Article 122 EPC.

III. No answer has been given to the registry's communication.

Reasons for the Decision

- 1. The notice of appeal filed on 23 August 2006 contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.
- As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as

inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 65(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar

The Chairman

G. Nachtigall

H. Meinders