BESCHWERDEKAMMERN	BOARDS OF APPEAL OF	CHAMBRES DE RECOURS
DES EUROPÄISCHEN	THE EUROPEAN PATENT	DE L'OFFICE EUROPEEN
PATENTAMTS	OFFICE	DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

(A) [] Publication in OJ(B) [] To Chairmen and Members(C) [] To Chairmen(D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 17 June 2008

Case Number:	T 1182/07 - 3.2.03
Application Number:	99119283.2
Publication Number:	0992635
IPC:	E03F 7/10
I anguage of the progoodings:	TENT

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Equipment for suction and high pressure cleaning optimised by a logic unit

Patentee:

Jurop S.p.A.

Opponent:

Müller Umwelttechnik GmbH Co. KG

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions: EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

Relevant legal provisions (EPC 1973):

-

_

```
Keyword:
"Missing Statement of Grounds"
```

Decisions cited:

_

Catchword:



Europäisches Patentamt European Patent Office Office européen des brevets

Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Case Number: T 1182/07 - 3.2.03

DECISION of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.03 of 17 June 2008

- (Opponent) Müller Umwelttechnik GmbH Co. KG Julius-Müller-Strasse 3 D-32816 Schieder-Schwalenberg (DE)
- Representative:
- Dantz, Jan Henning Loesenbeck – Stracke – Specht – Dantz Am Zwinger 2 D-33602 Bielefeld (DE)
- **Respondent:** (Patent Proprietor)
- Jurop S.p.A. Via Crosera, 50 I-33082 Azzano Decimo (Prov. of Pordenone) (IT)
- Representative: Gervasi, Gemma Notarbartolo & Gervasi S.p.A. Corso di Porta Vittoria, 9 I-20122 Milano (IT)
- Decision under appeal: Interlocutory decision of the Opposition Division of the European Patent Office posted 14 May 2007 concerning maintenance of European patent No. 0992635 in amended form.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman:	U.	Krause
Members:	G.	Ashley
	к.	Garnett
	Ε.	Frank

I. Beckedorf

Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal contests the interlocutory decision of the Opposition Division of European Patent Office dated 14 May 2007, concerning maintenance of European patent No. 0 992 635 in amended form.

The appellant (patent proprietor) filed a notice of appeal on 23 July 2007 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day.

No Statement of Grounds was filed. The notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.

- II. By a communication dated 18 December 2007, sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that no statement of grounds has been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.
- III. The appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the ground of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 65(1)EPC 1973, Rule 101(1) EPC 2000).

Order

For these reasons, it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:

A. Counillon

U. Krause