BESCHWERDEKAMMERN	BOARDS OF APPEAL OF	CHAMBRES DE RECOURS
DES EUROPÄISCHEN	THE EUROPEAN PATENT	DE L'OFFICE EUROPEEN
PATENTAMTS	OFFICE	DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

(A) [] Publication in OJ(B) [] To Chairmen and Members(C) [] To Chairmen(D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 25 April 2008

Case Number:	т 1455/07 - 3.4.03		
Application Number:	98937101.8		
Publication Number:	1008133		
IPC:	G09G 5/00		
T			

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Centralized control and management system for automobiles

Applicant:

American Calcar Inc.

Opponent:

-

Headword:

-

Relevant legal provisions: EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

Relevant legal provisions (EPC 1973):

-

```
Keyword:
"Missing statement of grounds"
```

Decisions cited:

-

Catchword:

-



Europäisches Patentamt European Patent Office Office européen des brevets

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Case Number: T 1455/07 - 3.4.03

DECISION of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.4.03 of 25 April 2008

Appellant:	American Calcar Inc. 3511 Silverside Road Suite 105 Wilmington Delaware 19810 (US)
Representative:	Reinhard – Skuhra – Weise & Partner Postfach 44 01 51 D-80750 München (DE)
Decision under appeal:	Decision of the Examining Division of

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office posted 1 February 2007 granting European patent No. 1008133 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman:	R.	G. 0	'Connell
Members:	Ε.	Wolff	
	J.	Van	Moer

Summary of Facts and Submissions

This is an appeal against the decision to grant
 European patent 1 008 133 posted 1 February 2007.

The appellant applicant filed a notice of appeal on 26 March 2007. The payment of the appeal fee was recorded on the same day.

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the four-month time limit prescribed by Article 108 EPC.

II. By a communication dated 14 November 2007, sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the board informed the representative of the appellant that it appeared that no written statement of grounds of appeal had been filed and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months and attention was drawn to the possibility of filing a request for reestablishment of rights under Article 122 EPC. No response was received to the board's communication.

Reasons for the Decision

1. As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed and as the notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement of grounds of appeal pursuant to Article 108 EPC, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

Registrar

Chair

S. Sánchez Chiquero

R. G. O'Connell