

Internal distribution code:

- (A) Publication in OJ
(B) To Chairmen and Members
(C) To Chairmen
(D) No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 19 May 2008**

Case Number: T 1925/07 - 3.3.10

Application Number: 99965274.6

Publication Number: 1140004

IPC: A61K 7/48

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Synergistic combination of cationic and ampholytic polymers
for cleansing and/or conditioning keratin based substrates

Applicant:

Calgon Corporation

Opponent:

-

Headword:

-

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 101(1)

Relevant legal provisions (EPC 1973):

-

Keyword:

"Missing statement of grounds"

Decisions cited:

-

Catchword:

-



Case Number: T 1925/07 - 3.3.10

D E C I S I O N
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.10
of 19 May 2008

Appellant: Calgon Corporation
One Nalco Center
Naperville
Illinois 60563-1198 (US)

Representative: Harrison Goddard Foote
Belgrave Hall
Belgrave Street
Leeds LS2 8DD (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted 11 June 2007
refusing European application No. 99965274.6
pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: R. Freimuth
Members: J. Mercey
J.-P. Seitz

Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal lies from the decision of the examining division of the European Patent Office refusing European patent application No. 99965274.6. The decision was dispatched by registered letter with advice of delivery to the applicant on 11 June 2007.

The appellant filed a notice of appeal by a letter received on 9 August 2007. The payment of the appeal fee was recorded on the same day.

No separate statement of grounds was filed.

II. By a communication dated 30 November 2007 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the registry of the board informed the appellant that no statement of grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months and attention was drawn to the possibility of filing a request for re-establishment of rights under Article 122 EPC.

III. No answer has been given to the registry's communication within the time limit.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed and as the notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as statement of grounds pursuant to

Article 108 EPC, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible
(Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC)

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar

The Chairman

P. Cremona

R. Freimuth