PATENTAMTS

# OFFICE

BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPEEN DES BREVETS

Internal	distribution	code:

- (A) [ ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ ] To Chairmen and Members
  (C) [ ] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

### Datasheet for the decision of 14 December 2010

T 1332/10 - 3.5.03 Case Number:

Application Number: 06117518.8

Publication Number: 1708541

IPC: H04R 1/02

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Speaker apparatus for handheld electronic device

Applicant:

RESEARCH IN MOTION LIMITED

Opponent:

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

Relevant legal provisions (EPC 1973):

Keyword:

"Missing statement of grounds"

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Europäisches **Patentamt** 

European **Patent Office**  Office européen des brevets

Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Case Number: T 1332/10 - 3.5.03

DECISION

of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.03 of 14 December 2010

Appellant: RESEARCH IN MOTION LIMITED

295 Phillip Street

Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3W8 (CA)

Representative: Hibbert, Juliet Jane Grace

Kilburn & Strode LLP 20 Red Lion Street London WC1R 4PJ (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted 23 December 2009

refusing European patent application

No. 06117518.8 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: A. S. Clelland

Members: B. Noll

R. Moufang

- 1 - T 1332/10

#### Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal lies from the decision of the examining division of the European Patent Office refusing European patent application No. 06117518.8. The decision was dispatched by registered letter with advice of delivery to the applicant on 23 December 2009.

The appellant filed a notice of appeal by a letter received on 2 March 2010. The payment of the appeal fee was recorded on the same day.

No separate statement of grounds was filed.

- II. By a communication dated 1 July 2010 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the registry of the board informed the appellant that no statement of grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.
- III. No answer has been given to the registry's communication within the time limit.

#### Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed and as the notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC).

## Order

# For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar

The Chairman

G. Rauh

A. S. Clelland