PATENTAMTS

BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPEEN DES BREVETS

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 8 March 2012

T 2248/11 - 3.5.06 Case Number:

Application Number: 09156291.8

Publication Number: 2113858

IPC: G06F 21/00

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Remotable information cards

Applicant:

Novell, Inc.

Opponent:

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

"Missing statement of grounds"

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets

Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Case Number: T 2248/11 - 3.5.06

DECISION
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.06
of 8 March 2012

Appellant: Novell, Inc.

(Applicant) 1800 S Novell Place

Provo, Utah 84606-6169 (US)

Representative: Hanna, Peter William Derek

Hanna Moore & Curley 13 Lower Lad Lane Dublin 2 (IE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted 12 May 2011

refusing European patent application

No. 09156291.8 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: D. H. Rees
Members: S. Krischer

W. Sekretaruk

- 1 - T 2248/11

Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal contests the decision of the examining division of the European Patent Office dated 12 May 2011, refusing European patent application No. 09 156 291.8.

The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 16 June 2011 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.

A written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was not filed within the four-month time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC. Nor did the notice of appeal contain anything that might be considered as such statement.

- II. In a communication dated 7 November 2011, the Board informed the appellant that no statement setting out the grounds of appeal had been received and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was informed that any observations should be filed within two months.
- III. The appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC, the appeal is inadmissible pursuant to Rule 101(1) EPC.

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:

B. Atienza Vivancos

D. H. Rees