

**Internal distribution code:**

- (A) [ - ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ - ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ - ] To Chairmen
- (D) [ X ] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision  
of 13 June 2012**

**Case Number:** T 2450/11 - 3.5.04

**Application Number:** 06790730.3

**Publication Number:** 1927084

**IPC:** G06T9/40, H04N7/26

**Language of the proceedings:** EN

**Title of invention:**

METHOD, SYSTEM AND COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCT FOR ENTROPY  
CONSTRAINED COLOR SPLITTING FOR PALETTE IMAGES WITH PIXEL-WISE  
SPLITTING

**Applicant:**

Slipstream Data Inc.

**Headword:**

Missing Statement of Grounds

**Relevant legal provisions:**

EPC Art. 108 sentence 3  
EPC R. 101(1)

**Keyword:**



Case Number: T2450/11 - 3.5.04

**D E C I S I O N**  
**of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.04**  
**of 13 June 2012**

**Appellant:** Slipstream Data Inc.  
(Applicant) 550 Parkside Drive, Unit A8  
Waterloo, ON N2L 5V4 (CANADA)

**Representative:** Finnie, Peter John  
Gill Jennings & Every LLP  
The Broadgate Tower  
20 Primrose Street  
London EC2A 2ES (ROYAUME UNI)

**Decision under appeal:** **Decision of the Examining Division of the  
European Patent Office posted 20 May 2011  
refusing European patent application No.  
06790730.3 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

**Composition of the Board:**

**Chairman:** F. Edlinger  
**Members:** A. Dumont  
B. Müller

### **Summary of Facts and Submissions**

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division posted on 20 May 2011.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 19 July 2011 and paid the appeal fee on 20 July 2011.
- III. By communication of 22 December 2011, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply has been received.

### **Reasons for the Decision**

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

**Order**

**For these reasons it is decided that:**

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



K. Boelicke

F. Edlinger

Decision electronically authenticated