BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 28 August 2012

Case Number: T 1008/12 - 3.3.04

Application Number: 06820586.3

Publication Number: 1962897

IPC: A61K39/04, A61K39/05, A61P33/00

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

USE OF WHOLE BACTERIAL CELLS (ACTINOMYCETALES) FOR MATERNAL ADMINISTRATION TO MODULATE OFFSPRING IMMUNE RESPONSE

Applicant:

BioEos Limited

Headword:

USE OF WHOLE BACTERIAL CELLS/BioEos Limited

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

"Missing statement of grounds"

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

European Patent Office D-80298 MUNICH GERMANY Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1008/12 - 3.3.04

D E C I S I O N of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.04 of 28 August 2012

Appellant: BioEos Limited
(Applicant) 67 Lakers Rise
Woodmansterne

Surrey SM7 3LA (ROYAUME UNI)

Representative: Williams, Aylsa

D Young & Co LLP

120 Holborn

London EC1N 2DY (ROYAUME UNI)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted 15 November 2011

refusing European patent application No. 06820586.3 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

G. Alt

- 1 - T 1008/12

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is against the decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office dated 15 November 2011 refusing European patent application No. 06820586.3.
- II. The appellant (applicant) filed a notice of appeal on 13 December 2011 and paid the fee for appeal on 30 December 2011.
- III. No statement of grounds was filed by the appellant. The notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.
- IV. By communication dated 4 May 2012, sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the Registry of the board informed the appellant that no statement of grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.
- V. The appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC in conjunction with Article 108 EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



P. Cremona

C. Rennie-Smith

Decision electronically authenticated