# BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

## Internal distribution code:

- (A) [ ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ ] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

## Datasheet for the decision of 18 November 2013

Case Number: T 1902/12 - 3.3.09

Application Number: 06776214.6

Publication Number: 1906768

IPC: A23L1/30

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

Title of invention:

NOVEL USE OF ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Applicant:

DSM IP Assets B.V.

Headword:

## Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 99(2), 101(1), 126(2)

#### Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

#### Catchword:



# Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

European Patent Office D-80298 MUNICH GERMANY Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1902/12 - 3.3.09

DECISION
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.09
of 18 November 2013

Appellant: DSM IP Assets B.V. (Applicant) Het Overloon 1

6411 TE Heerlen (NL)

Representative: Kurt, Manfred

DSM Nutritional Products AG

Patent Department Wurmisweg 576

4303 Kaiseraugst (CH)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 9 March 2012

refusing European patent application No. 06776214.6 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: N. Perakis

Members: J. Jardón Álvarez

R. Menapace

- 1 - T 1902/12

## Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division of 3 February 2012, posted on 9 March 2012.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 16 April 2012 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 29 January 2013, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

## Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

## Order

## For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



M. Canueto Carbajo

N. Perakis

Decision electronically authenticated