BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF PATENTAMTS

OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPEEN DES BREVETS

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
 (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 17 April 2013

T 2522/12 - 3.5.06 Case Number:

Application Number: 06252290.9

Publication Number: 1717735

IPC: G06N 3/00

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Method and system for performing model-based multi-objective asset optimization and decision-making

Applicant:

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

Relevant legal provisions (EPC 1973):

Keyword:

"Missing statement of grounds of appeal"

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets

Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Case Number: T 2522/12 - 3.5.06

DECISION
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.06
of 17 April 2013

Appellant: GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

(Applicant) 1 River Road

Schenectady, NY 12345 (US)

Representative: Cleary, Fidelma

GE International Inc.

Global Patent Operation-Europe

15 John Adam Street London WC2N 6LU (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted 19 June 2012

refusing European patent application

No. 06252290.9 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: D. H. Rees
Members: A. Teale

C. Heath

- 1 - T 2522/12

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division of 19 June 2012, posted on 19 June 2012.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 20 August 2012 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 19 December 2012, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

- 2 - T 2522/12

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar: The Chairman:

A. Wolinski D. H. Rees