PATENTAMTS

BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPEEN DES BREVETS

	Internal	distribution	code:
--	----------	--------------	-------

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
 (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 30 August 2013

T 0757/13 - 3.2.04 Case Number:

Application Number: 05750001.9

Publication Number: 1765475

IPC: A63F 13/00

Language of the proceedings:

Title of invention:

Game controller with sensitivity adjustment

Applicant:

Radica Games Ltd.

Opponent:

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

"Missing statement of grounds"

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets

Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Case Number: T 0757/13 - 3.2.04

DECISION

of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.04 of 30 August 2013

Appellant: Radica Gaines Ltd. (Applicant) 13628-A Beta Road

Dallas, TX 75244 (US)

Representative: Becker Kurig Straus

Bavariastrasse 7

D-80336 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted on

11 October 2012 refusing European patent application No. 05750001.9 pursuant to

Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: A. de Vries
Members: J. Wright

C. Heath

- 1 - T 0757/13

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division of 26 September 2012 posted on 11 October 2012.
- The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 5 December 2012 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 20 June 2013, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:

G. Magouliotis

A. de Vries