PATENTAMTS

OFFICE

BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPEEN DES BREVETS

Internal	distribution	code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
 (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 11 October 2013

T 0845/13 - 3.5.01 Case Number:

Application Number: 01988298.4

Publication Number: 1332460

G06F 17/60 IPC:

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Systems and methods to facilitate selling of products and services

Applicant:

Proficient Systems, Inc.

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

"Missing statement of grounds of appeal"

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets

Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Case Number: T 0845/13 - 3.5.01

DECISION

of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.01 of 11 October 2013

Appellant:
 (Applicant)

Proficient Systems, Inc. 2859 Paces Ferry Road,

Suite 820

Atlanta, GA 30339 (US)

Representative:

Chettle, Adrian John Withers & Rogers LLP 4 More London Riverside London SE1 2AU (GB)

Decision under appeal:

Decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office posted 29 October 2012

refusing European patent application

No. 01988298.4 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: S. Wibergh

Members: R. R. K. Zimmermann

D. Prietzel-Funk

- 1 - T 0845/13

Summary of Facts and Submissions

The appellant contests the decision of the examining division of the European Patent Office dated 29 October 2012 refusing European patent application No. 01988298.4.

The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 21 December 2012 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.

A written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was not filed within the four-month time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC. Nor did the notice of appeal contain anything that might be considered as such a statement.

- II. In a communication dated 16 April 2013, the Board informed the appellant that no statement setting out the grounds of appeal had been received and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was informed that any observations should be filed within two months.
- III. The appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC, the appeal is inadmissible pursuant to Rule 101(1) EPC.

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:

T. Buschek

S. Wibergh