

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
(B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
(C) [-] To Chairmen
(D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 26 February 2015**

Case Number: T 1673/14 - 3.2.03
Application Number: 06724901.1
Publication Number: 1877203
IPC: B21B1/46, B21B1/18, B21B1/08,
B21B39/00, B21B43/00
Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

COMPACT PLANT FOR CONTINUOUS PRODUCTION OF BARS AND/OR
PROFILES

Patent Proprietor:

DANIELI & C. OFFICINE MECCANICHE S.p.A.

Opponent:

Siemens VAI Metals Technologies S.r.l./Siemens
S.p.A.

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

Keyword:

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



**Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours**

European Patent Office
D-80298 MUNICH
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1673/14 - 3.2.03

**D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.03
of 26 February 2015**

Appellant: DANIELI & C. OFFICINE MECCANICHE S.p.A.
(Patent Proprietor) Via Nazionale 41
33042 Buttrio (IT)

Representative: Cinquantini, Bruno
Notarbartolo & Gervasi S.p.A.
Corso di Porta Vittoria, 9
20122 Milano (IT)

Respondent: Siemens VAI Metals Technologies S.r.l./Siemens
(Opponent) S.p.A.
Via Luigi Pomini 92/
Viale Piero e Alberto Pirelli 10
21050 Marnate (VA)/20126 Milano (IT)

Representative: Kley, Hansjörg
Siemens AG
Postfach 22 16 34
80506 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: **Interlocutory decision of the Opposition
Division of the European Patent Office posted on
6 June 2014 concerning maintenance of the
European Patent No. 1877203 in amended form.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman G. Ashley
Members: C. Donnelly
M.-B. Tardo-Dino

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Opposition Division of 08 May 2014, posted on 6 June 2014.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 6 August 2014 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 14 November 2014, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



C. Spira

G. Ashley

Decision electronically authenticated