

**Internal distribution code:**

- (A) [ - ] Publication in OJ  
(B) [ - ] To Chairmen and Members  
(C) [ - ] To Chairmen  
(D) [ X ] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision  
of 23 April 2019**

**Case Number:** T 1031/15 - 3.5.02

**Application Number:** 08759379.4

**Publication Number:** 2137800

**IPC:** H02J3/32, H02J3/14, H02J3/38

**Language of the proceedings:** EN

**Title of invention:**  
A Load Management Controller

**Patent Proprietor:**  
Cooper, Timothy Patrick

**Opponents:**  
SIB Energy Limited  
ENERGENO LIMITED  
SMA Solar Technology AG  
Viessmann Werke GmbH & Co. KG  
Stiebel Eltron GmbH & Co. KG

**Relevant legal provisions:**  
EPC R. 84(1)

**Keyword:**  
Lapse of patent in all designated states - continuation of  
appeal proceedings (no)

**Decisions cited:**

T 0708/01



**Beschwerdekammern**  
**Boards of Appeal**  
**Chambres de recours**

Boards of Appeal of the  
European Patent Office  
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8  
85540 Haar  
GERMANY  
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0  
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1031/15 - 3.5.02

**D E C I S I O N**  
**of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.02**  
**of 23 April 2019**

**Appellant:** Cooper, Timothy Patrick  
(Patent Proprietor) Cambridge Mews  
Sandycove Avenue East  
Dun Laoghaire  
County Dublin (IE)

**Representative:** O'Connor, Michael Donal  
Cruickshank  
8a Sandyford Business Centre  
Sandyford  
Dublin 18 (IE)

**Respondent:** SIB Energy Limited  
(Opponent 1) 32 Thorpe Wood  
Thorpe Wood Business Park  
Peterborough  
Cambridgeshire PE3 6SR (GB)

**Representative:** Forsyth, Helen Jane  
Nash Matthews LLP  
24 Hills Road  
Cambridge CB2 1JP (GB)

**Respondent:** SMA Solar Technology AG  
(Opponent 3) Sonnenallee 1  
34266 Niestetal (DE)

**Representative:** Lahnor, Peter  
SMA Solar Technology AG  
Corporate Intellectual Property Management  
Sonnenallee 1  
34266 Niestetal (DE)

**Respondent:** Viessmann Werke GmbH & Co. KG  
(Opponent 4) Viessmannstrasse 1  
35107 Allendorf (DE)

**Representative:** MERH-IP Matias Erny Reichl Hoffmann  
Patentanwälte PartG mbB  
Paul-Heyse-Strasse 29  
80336 München (DE)

**Respondent:** Stiebel Eltron GmbH & Co. KG  
(Opponent 5) Dr.-Stiebel-Str.  
37603 Holzminden (DE)

**Decision under appeal:** **Decision of the Opposition Division of the  
European Patent Office posted on 12 March 2015  
revoking European patent No. 2137800 pursuant to  
Article 101(3) (b) EPC.**

**Composition of the Board:**

**Chairman** R. Lord  
**Members:** G. Flyng  
W. Ungler

## **Summary of Facts and Submissions**

- I. The patent proprietor has appealed against the decision of the Opposition Division of 12 March 2015 revoking the European patent No. EP 2 137 800.
- II. The patent has meanwhile lapsed in all designated Contracting States.
- III. By a communication of the Board of 4 February 2019, the attention of the parties was drawn to Rule 84(1) EPC and the appellant (patent proprietor) was invited to inform the Board within two months from notification of the communication whether it was requested that the appeal proceedings be continued. The parties were advised that if no request for continuation of the appeal proceedings was received, the Board intended to terminate the appeal proceedings.
- IV. Within the time period set in the above communication no reply was filed.

## **Reasons for the Decision**

1. If a European patent has lapsed in all designated Contracting States, opposition proceedings may be continued at the request of the opponent, Rule 84(1) EPC. It follows from Rule 100(1) EPC that this also applies in appeal proceedings following opposition proceedings.
2. However, if, as in the present case, the patent proprietor is the appellant, it would be inappropriate to allow the opponent to determine whether or not the appeal proceedings are to be continued. For this

reason, Rule 84(1) EPC has to be applied in such cases so that the patent proprietor can request that the appeal proceedings be continued (see decision T0708/01, not published in OJ EPO, and Case Law of the Boards of Appeal, eighth edition, IV.C.4.1.2.b - Request for continuation of the proceedings made by the patent proprietor).

3. The patent proprietor has been invited to file such a request for continuation, but has not done so.
4. The appeal proceedings are consequently terminated by this decision of the Board.

## Order

### **For these reasons it is decided that:**

The appeal proceedings are terminated.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



U. Bultmann

R. Lord

Decision electronically authenticated