

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 7 November 2017**

Case Number: T 1383/15 - 3.3.08

Application Number: 01979073.2

Publication Number: 1320597

IPC: C12N15/11, A61K48/00,
A61K31/7088

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

INDUCTION OF EXON SKIPPING IN EUKARYOTIC CELLS

Applicant:

Academisch Ziekenhuis Leiden

Headword:

Exon skipping/ZIEKENHUIS

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - statement of grounds (not filed)

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1383/15 - 3.3.08

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.08
of 7 November 2017

Appellant: Academisch Ziekenhuis Leiden
(Applicant) Albinusdreef 2
2333 ZA Leiden (NL)

Representative: Nederlandsch Octrooibureau
P.O. Box 29720
2502 LS The Hague (NL)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 7 January 2015
refusing European patent application No.
01979073.2 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman B. Stolz
Members: P. Julià
D. Rogers

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal lies against a decision of an examining division of the European Patent Office posted on 7 January 2015 whereby the European patent No. 1 320 597 (application No. 01 979 073.2) with the title "Induction of exon skipping in eukaryotic cells" was refused under Article 97(2) EPC.
- II. The applicant (appellant) filed a notice of appeal on 4 March 2015 and paid the appeal fee on the same day. No statement of grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit set by Article 108 EPC.
- III. By communication of 19 July 2017 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the appellant was informed that no written statement of grounds of appeal had been filed and that, therefore, it was to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, and Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC, and as the notice of appeal does not contain any statements that could be regarded as a statement of grounds of appeal pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



A. Wolinski

B. Stolz

Decision electronically authenticated