## PATENTAMTS

### BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

#### Internal distribution code:

- (A) [ ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ ] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

#### Datasheet for the decision of 28 April 2016

Case Number: T 1863/15 - 3.3.04

Application Number: 07803334.7

Publication Number: 2097102

IPC: A61K39/13

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

#### Title of invention:

COMBINATION VACCINE HAVING REDUCED POLIO VIRUS ANTIGEN OUANTITIES

#### Patent Proprietor:

GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals s.a.

#### Opponents:

Novartis Vaccines and Diagnostics, Inc. (withdrawn) SANOFI PASTEUR SA (withdrawn) Crucell Holland B.V.

#### Headword:

#### Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

#### Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



# Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

European Patent Office D-80298 MUNICH GERMANY Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1863/15 - 3.3.04

DECISION
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.04
of 28 April 2016

Appellant: GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals s.a.

(Patent Proprietor) rue de l'Institut 89

1330 Rixensart (BE)

Representative: Dalton, Marcus Jonathan William

GlaxoSmithKline

Global Patents (CN925.1) 980 Great West Road

Brentford, Middlesex TW8 9GS (GB)

Respondent: Crucell Holland B.V.

(Opponent 3) Archimedesweg 4

2333 CN Leiden (NL)

Representative: Chapman, Desmond Mark

Carpmaels & Ransford LLP One Southampton Row London WC1B 5HA (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Opposition Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 22 July 2015 revoking European patent No. 2097102 pursuant to

Article 101(3)(b) EPC.

#### Composition of the Board:

L. Bühler

- 1 - T 1863/15

#### Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the opposition division posted on 22 July 2015 revoking European patent No. 2 097 102.
- II. The patent proprietor (appellant) filed a notice of appeal on 22 September 2015 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 8 January 2016, received by the appellant, the registry of the board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

#### Reasons for the Decision

- 1. No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC.
- 2. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

- 2 - T 1863/15

#### Order

#### For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairwoman:



D. Hampe G. Alt

Decision electronically authenticated