

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 14 April 2016**

Case Number: T 0010/16 - 3.4.02

Application Number: 99950233.9

Publication Number: 1118038

IPC: G02F1/167

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

ENCAPSULATED ELECTROPHORETIC DISPLAYS HAVING A MONOLAYER OF CAPSULES

Applicant:

E Ink Corporation

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

Appeal inadmissible - No statement of grounds of appeal



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

European Patent Office
D-80298 MUNICH
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0010/16 - 3.4.02

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.4.02
of 14 April 2016

Appellant: E Ink Corporation
(Applicant) 733 Concord Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02138 (US)

Representative: Cole, David John
46 Kirkhill Gardens
West Greenlees Estate
Cambuslang
Glasgow G72 8EZ (GB)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office posted on 8 July 2015 refusing European patent application No. 99950233.9 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman R. Bekkering
Members: F. J. Narganes-Quijano
B. Müller

Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division posted on 8 July 2015 refusing European patent application No. 99950233.9.

II. The appellant (applicant) filed a notice of appeal dated 4 September 2015 and received on 14 September 2015. The appeal fee was paid on 14 September 2015.

No statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit prescribed by Article 108 EPC.

III. By communication of 12 January 2016, sent by registered letter with advice of delivery and received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.

IV. No reply has been received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article

108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds within the meaning of Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC.

Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



M. Kiehl

R. Bekkering

Decision electronically authenticated