# BESCHWERDEKAMMERN PATENTAMTS

# BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

#### Internal distribution code:

- (A) [ ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ ] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

## Datasheet for the decision of 16 November 2020

Case Number: T 1905/16 - 3.3.08

08002266.8 Application Number:

Publication Number: 1914244

C12N15/09 IPC:

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

#### Title of invention:

Method of modulating the activity of functional immune molecules

#### Patent Proprietor:

Kyowa Kirin Co., Ltd.

#### Opponents:

STRAWMAN LIMITED Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG/

Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH König Szynka Tilmann von Renesse

#### Headword:

Immune molecules/KYOWA KIRIN

#### Relevant legal provisions:

EPC R. 84(1), 101(1)

# Keyword:

Lapse of patent in all designated states - termination of the appeal proceedings

### Decisions cited:

т 0329/88, т 0949/09, т 0480/13

#### Catchword:



# Beschwerdekammern **Boards of Appeal**

Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar **GERMANY** 

Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1905/16 - 3.3.08

# DECISION of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.08 of 16 November 2020

STRAWMAN LIMITED Appellant:

Orchard Lea (Opponent 1) Horns Lane

Combe

Witney, Oxfordshire OX29 8NH (GB)

Representative: Mewburn Ellis LLP

Aurora Building Counterslip

Bristol BS1 6BX (GB)

Respondent: Kyowa Kirin Co., Ltd.

1-9-2, Otemachi, (Patent Proprietor)

Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo (JP)

Hoffmann Eitle Representative:

Patent- und Rechtsanwälte PartmbB

Arabellastraße 30 81925 München (DE)

Party as of right: Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG/

Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH (Opponent 2)

Binger Straße 173

55216 Ingelheim am Rhein (DE)

Representative: Oetke, Cornelia

Wallinger Ricker Schlotter Tostmann

Patent- und Rechtsanwälte Partnerschaft mbB

Zweibrückenstraße 5-7 80331 München (DE)

Party as of right: König Szynka Tilmann von Renesse

Patentanwälte Partnerschaft mbB

(Opponent 3) Mönchenwerther Strasse 11

40545 Düsseldorf (DE)

Representative: Hemsath, Lars

König-Szynka-Tilmann-von Renesse Patentanwälte Partnerschaft mbB

Mönchenwerther Straße 11 40545 Düsseldorf (DE)

Decision under appeal: Interlocutory decision of the Opposition

Division of the European Patent Office posted on

9 June 2016 concerning maintenance of the European Patent No. 1914244 in amended form.

# Composition of the Board:

Chairman B. Stolz

Members: M. R. Vega Laso

D. Rogers

- 1 - T 1905/16

#### Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal lies from an interlocutory decision of an opposition division posted on 9 June 2016, in which it was found that, account being taken of the amendments introduced into the claims according to the auxiliary request 4a and the description adapted thereto, the European patent No. 1 914 244 with the title "Method of modulating the activity of functional immune molecules" and the invention to which it relates meet the requirements of the EPC.
- II. By a communication dated 4 August 2020, the board informed the parties that the term of the European patent had expired and, consequently, the European patent had lapsed with effect for all the designated Contracting States. Further, the parties were informed that the appeal proceedings could be continued at the request of the opponents, provided that the request was filed within two months from notification of the communication.
- III. The appellant (opponent 1) informed the board that it did not wish to continue with the appeal.
- IV. No request for continuation of the proceedings was received from the other opponents.

#### Reasons for the Decision

1. Pursuant to Rule 84(1) EPC in conjunction with Rule 100(1) EPC, appeal proceedings may be continued after the European patent has lapsed, if the opponent files a request to this effect within two months of a

- 2 - T 1905/16

communication informing it of the lapse (see, *inter alia*, decisions T 329/88 of 22 June 1993; T 949/09 of 17 October 2012; and T 480/13 of 5 November 2014).

Since no request for continuation of the appeal proceedings was received from any of the appellants in due time, the board decides to terminate the appeal proceedings.

#### Order

# For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal proceedings are terminated.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



L. Malécot-Grob

B. Stolz

Decision electronically authenticated