BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

Internal	distribution	code:
----------	--------------	-------

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 5 March 2018

Case Number: T 1421/17 - 3.2.03

Application Number: 01918272.4

Publication Number: 1274905

IPC: E04D7/00, C04B41/50

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

PROCESS FOR PROVIDING A PHOTOCATALYTIC COATING ON A SUBSTRATE AND COATED SUBSTRATE OBTAINABLE BY THE PROCESS

Patent Proprietor:

3M Innovative Properties Company

Opponent:

Erlus Aktiengesellschaft

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

Keyword:

			•
Decisions of	٦.	t.e	d:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar GERMANY

Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1421/17 - 3.2.03

DECISION
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.03
of 5 March 2018

Appellant: Erlus Aktiengesellschaft

(Opponent) Hauptstraße 106 84088 Neufahrn (DE)

Representative: Walcher, Armin

Louis, Pöhlau, Lohrentz

Patentanwälte Postfach 30 55 90014 Nürnberg (DE)

Respondent: 3M Innovative Properties Company

(Patent Proprietor) 3M Center P.O.Box 33427

P.U.BOX 33427

St. Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US)

Representative: Vossius & Partner

Patentanwälte Rechtsanwälte mbB

Siebertstrasse 3 81675 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: Interlocutory decision of the Opposition

Division of the European Patent Office posted on 31 March 2017 concerning maintenance of the European Patent No. 1274905 in amended form.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman G. Ashley Members: B. Miller

G. Weiss

- 1 - T 1421/17

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Opposition Division of 12 January 2017, posted on 31 March 2017.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 9 June 2017 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 7 September 2017, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

- 1. No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC.
- 2. Neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC.

- 2 - T 1421/17

3. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



C. Spira G. Ashley

Decision electronically authenticated