BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 23 July 2019

Case Number: T 0646/18 - 3.2.08

Application Number: 13701926.1

Publication Number: 2802794

IPC: F16H15/38

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

Title of invention:

CONTINUOUSLY VARIABLE TOROIDAL TRANSMISSION

Applicant:

Torotrak (Development) Limited

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 126(2), 99(2), 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar GERMANY Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0646/18 - 3.2.08

DECISION
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.08
of 23 July 2019

Appellant: Torotrak (Development) Limited

(Applicant) 1 Aston Way

Leyland, Lancashire PR26 7UX (GB)

Representative: CSY St Albans

45 Grosvenor Road

St Albans, Hertfordshire AL1 3AW (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 14 September 2017 refusing European patent application No. 13701926.1 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairwoman P. Acton

Members: M. Alvazzi Delfrate

Y. Podbielski

- 1 - T 0646/18

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division posted on 14 September 2017, refusing the European patent application No. 13701926.1.
- The applicant (appellant) filed a notice of appeal on 7 November 2017 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 8 March 2019, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

- 2 - T 0646/18

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairwoman:



C. Moser P. Acton

Decision electronically authenticated