

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 25 September 2019**

Case Number: T 1716/19 - 3.5.04

Application Number: 07852463.4

Publication Number: 2067358

IPC: H04N7/26, H04N7/50

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

METHOD FOR RHO-DOMAIN FRAME LEVEL BIT ALLOCATION FOR EFFECTIVE
RATE CONTROL AND ENHANCED VIDEO CODING QUALITY

Applicant:

InterDigital Madison Patent Holdings

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - statement of grounds (not filed)

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1716/19 - 3.5.04

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.04
of 25 September 2019

Appellant: InterDigital Madison Patent Holdings
(Applicant) 3 rue du Colonel Moll
75017 Paris (FR)

Representative: Hofstetter, Schurack & Partner
Patent- und Rechtsanwaltskanzlei
PartG mbB
Balanstrasse 57
81541 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 18 December
2018 refusing European patent application
No. 07852463.4 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman C. Kunzelmann
Members: M. Paci
G. Decker

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division posted on 18 December 2018.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 18 February 2019 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 18 June 2019, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply has been received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



K. Boelicke

C. Kunzelmann

Decision electronically authenticated