BESCHWERDEKAMMERN PATENTAMTS

BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 2 December 2020

Case Number: T 0392/20 - 3.4.02

Application Number: 13706780.7

Publication Number: 2810052

G01N25/48, G01N21/84, IPC:

G01N33/558

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

Title of invention:

THERMAL CONTRAST ASSAY AND READER

Patent Proprietors:

Regents of the University of Minnesota The Governing Council of the University of Toronto

Opponent:

Hoffmann Eitle S.L.U.

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 99(2), 101(1), 126(2)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds



Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar GERMANY Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0

Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0392/20 - 3.4.02

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.4.02
of 2 December 2020

Appellant: Hoffmann Eitle S.L.U.

(Opponent) Paseo de la Castellana 140, 3a planta

Edificio LIMA 28046 Madrid (ES)

Representative: Hoffmann Eitle

Hoffmann Eitle S.L.U.

Paseo de la Castellana 140, 3a planta

Edificio LIMA 28046 Madrid (ES)

Respondents: Regents of the University of Minnesota

600 McNamara Alumni Center

(Patent Proprietors) 200 Oak St. SE

Minneapolis, MN 55455 (US)

and

The Governing Council of the University of

Toronto

100 College Street, Room 413 Toronto, ON M5G 1L5 (CA)

Representative: Isarpatent

Patent- und Rechtsanwälte Behnisch Barth Charles

Hassa Peckmann & Partner mbB

Friedrichstrasse 31 80801 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Opposition Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 27 November 2019 rejecting the opposition filed against

European patent No. 2810052 pursuant to Article 101(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman R. Bekkering

Members: F. J. Narganes-Quijano

T. Karamanli

- 1 - T 0392/20

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the opposition division posted on 27 November 2019 to reject the opposition filed against European patent No. 2 810 052 pursuant to Article 101(2) EPC.
- II. The appellant filed notice of appeal on 7 February 2020 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 2 June 2020, receipt of which was confirmed by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.

In the same communication, it was stated that the Board assumed, unless contradicted by the appellant in the specified period, that the appellant's request for oral proceedings did not apply to the issue of inadmissibility of the appeal because no grounds of appeal had been filed in due time.

IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement of grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in

- 2 - T 0392/20

conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



L. Gabor R. Bekkering

Decision electronically authenticated