

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 19 April 2021**

Case Number: T 0017/21 - 3.5.06

Application Number: 08803230.5

Publication Number: 2183667

IPC: G06F9/44, G06F17/30

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

A SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR BROWSER-BASED ACCESS TO SMART CARDS

Applicant:

Gemalto SA

Headword:

Appeal inadmissible/Gemalto SA

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

Keyword:

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0017/21 - 3.5.06

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.06
of 19 April 2021

Appellant: Gemalto SA
(Applicant) 6, rue de la Verrerie
92190 Meudon (FR)

Representative: Lotaut, Yacine Diaw
Thales Dis France SA
Intellectual Property Department
6, rue de la Verrerie
92190 Meudon (FR)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 20 July 2020
refusing European patent application No.
08803230.5 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman M. Müller
Members: S. Krischer
B. Müller

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the examining division posted on 20 July 2020.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 3 August 2020 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 20 January 2021, receipt of which was confirmed by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply has been received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement of grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



L. Stridde

M. Müller

Decision electronically authenticated