

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 15 July 2021**

Case Number: T 0290/21 - 3.5.04

Application Number: 15826262.6

Publication Number: 3241359

IPC: H04N21/482, H04N21/431,
H04N21/4782, H04N21/81

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR OVERLAYING A DIGITAL MINI GUIDE ONTO A
VIDEO STREAM

Applicant:

DISH Technologies L.L.C.

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

Keyword:

"Missing statement of grounds"

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0290/21 - 3.5.04

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.04
of 15 July 2021

Appellant: DISH Technologies L.L.C.
(Applicant) 9601 S. Meridian Boulevard
Englewood, CO 80112 (US)

Representative: Lucas, Peter Lawrence
LKGlobal UK Ltd.
Cambridge House, Henry Street
Bath BA1 1BT (GB)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 12 October 2020
refusing European patent application
No. 15826262.6 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chair B. Willems
Members: A. Seeger
T. Karamanli

Summary of Facts and Submissions

1. The appeal is against the decision of the examining division posted 12 October 2020 to refuse European patent application No. 15 826 262.6 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.
2. The applicant (appellant) filed notice of appeal on 7 December 2020 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day.
3. By communication dated 7 April 2021 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the registrar of the board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months from notification of the communication.
4. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the period provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds of appeal pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC.

Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chair:



K. Boelicke

B. Willems

Decision electronically authenticated