

**Internal distribution code:**

- (A) [ - ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ - ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ - ] To Chairmen
- (D) [ X ] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision  
of 24 September 2021**

**Case Number:** T 0851/21 - 3.5.04

**Application Number:** 15826285.7

**Publication Number:** 3241356

**IPC:** H04N21/2343, H04N21/2347,  
H04N21/6334, H04N21/845

**Language of the proceedings:** EN

**Title of invention:**  
AUTOMATED VIDEO CONTENT PROCESSING

**Applicant:**  
DISH Technologies L.L.C.

**Headword:**

**Relevant legal provisions:**  
EPC Art. 108  
EPC R. 99(2), 100(1)

**Keyword:**  
"Missing statement of grounds of appeal"

**Decisions cited:**

**Catchword:**



**Beschwerdekammern**

**Boards of Appeal**

**Chambres de recours**

Boards of Appeal of the  
European Patent Office  
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8  
85540 Haar  
GERMANY  
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0  
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

**Case Number:** T 0851/21 - 3.5.04

**D E C I S I O N**  
**of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.04**  
**of 24 September 2021**

**Appellant:**

(Applicant)

DISH Technologies L.L.C.  
9601 S. Meridian Boulevard  
Englewood, CO 80112 (US)

**Representative:**

Lucas, Peter Lawrence  
LKGlobal UK Ltd.  
Cambridge House, Henry Street  
Bath BA1 1BT (GB)

**Decision under appeal:**

**Decision of the Examining Division of the  
European Patent Office posted on 16 November  
2020 refusing European patent application No.  
15826285.7 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

**Composition of the Board:**

**Chairwoman**

B. Willems

**Members:**

F. Sanahuja

G. Decker

## **Summary of Facts and Submissions**

1. The appeal is against the decision of the examining division posted 16 November 2020 to refuse European patent application No. 15 826 262.6 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.
2. The applicant (appellant) filed notice of appeal on 13 January 2021 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day.
3. By communication dated 21 June 2021 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the registrar of the board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months from notification of the communication.
4. No reply was received.

## **Reasons for the Decision**

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the period provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds of appeal pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the

appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC) .

## **Order**

**For these reasons it is decided that:**

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairwoman:



K. Boelicke

B. Willems

Decision electronically authenticated