BESCHWERDEKAMMERN PATENTAMTS

BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 19 April 2023

Case Number: T 0875/21 - 3.3.09

Application Number: 12724759.1

Publication Number: 2706867

A23J3/26, A23J3/16, A23P30/20, IPC:

A23P30/30

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

Title of invention:

METHOD OF MAKING STRUCTURED PROTEIN COMPOSITIONS

Patent Proprietor:

Ojah B.V.

Opponents:

Société des Produits Nestlé S.A. CLEXTRAL

Headword:

Method of making structured protein compositions/NESTLÉ

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 113(2)

Keyword:

Basis of decision - text or agreement to text withdrawn by patent proprietor - patent revoked

Decisions cited:



Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar GERMANY

Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0875/21 - 3.3.09

DECISION
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.09
of 19 April 2023

Appellant: Ojah B.V.

(Patent Proprietor) Cuneraweg 9 c

4051 CE Ochten (NL)

Representative: V.O.

P.O. Box 87930

2508 DH Den Haag (NL)

Appellant: Société des Produits Nestlé S.A.

(Opponent 1) Entre-deux-Villes 1800 Vevey (CH)

Representative: CMS Cameron McKenna Nabarro

Olswang LLP Cannon Place 78 Cannon Street London EC4N 6AF (GB)

Party as of right: CLEXTRAL

(Opponent 2)

1 rue du Colonel Riez

42700 Firminy (FR)

Representative: Lavoix

62, rue de Bonnel

69448 Lyon Cedex 03 (FR)

Decision under appeal: Interlocutory decision of the Opposition

Division of the European Patent Office posted on 26 March 2021 concerning maintenance of the European Patent No. 2706867 in amended form.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman A. Haderlein Members: C. Meiners

W. Sekretaruk

- 1 - T 0875/21

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. Appeals were filed by opponent 1 and the patent proprietor against the opposition division's decision which found that the European patent as amended according to the auxiliary request 9 as filed during oral proceedings before the opposition division meets the requirements of the EPC.
- II. During the oral proceedings held before the board, the patent proprietor withdrew all claim requests on file and its agreement to the text of the patent in any form.
- III. Opponents 1 and 2 requested that the decision under appeal be set aside and that the patent be revoked.

Reasons for the Decision

- 1. Under Article 113(2) EPC, the European Patent Office shall examine, and decide upon, the European patent only in the text submitted to it, or agreed, by the proprietor of the patent. This principle, according to which the text of the patent is at the disposition of the patent proprietor, has to be strictly observed also in opposition and opposition appeal proceedings.
- 2. As the patent proprietor withdrew all claim requests and its agreement to the text of the patent in any form, there is no text of the patent on which the board can base its consideration of the opponent's appeal.

- 2 - T 0875/21

3. If the proprietor of a European patent states in opposition or appeal proceedings that it no longer approves the text in which the patent was granted, and does not submit any amended text, the patent is to be revoked (see Case Law of the Boards of Appeal, 10th edition 2022, IV.D.2, third paragraph and III.B.3.3, first paragraph). The patent must therefore be revoked, without any preceding substantive examination.

- 3 - T 0875/21

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

- 1. The decision under appeal is set aside.
- 2. The patent is revoked.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



M. Schalow A. Haderlein

Decision electronically authenticated