# BESCHWERDEKAMMERN PATENTAMTS

# BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

#### Internal distribution code:

- (A) [ ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ ] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

# Datasheet for the decision of 28 September 2021

Case Number: T 0938/21 - 3.5.06

18162134.3 Application Number:

Publication Number: 3385842

IPC: G06F9/48

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

#### Title of invention:

EFFICIENT THREAD GROUP SCHEDULING

#### Applicant:

INTEL Corporation

#### Headword:

Appeal inadmissible/INTEL Corporation

#### Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 99(2), 101(1), 127(2)

#### Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds



# Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar GERMANY Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0

Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0938/21 - 3.5.06

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.06
of 28 September 2021

Appellant: INTEL Corporation

(Applicant) 2200 Mission College Blvd. Santa Clara, CA 95054 (US)

Representative: Goddar, Heinz J.

Boehmert & Boehmert Anwaltspartnerschaft mbB Pettenkoferstrasse 22 80336 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 15 January 2021

refusing European patent application No. 18162134.3 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

#### Composition of the Board:

Chairman M. Müller
Members: G. Zucka

K. Kerber-Zubrzycka

- 1 - T 0938/21

## Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the examining division posted on 15 January 2021.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 15 March 2021 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 30 June 2021, notified to the appellant via the EPO Mailbox Service on the same day, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

## Reasons for the Decision

No written statement of grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 127(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

- 2 - T 0938/21

# Order

# For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



L. Stridde M. Müller

Decision electronically authenticated