PATENTAMTS

BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 29 April 2022

Case Number: T 2091/21 - 3.3.08

12795778.5 Application Number:

2782997 Publication Number:

IPC: C12N7/02

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

Title of invention:

SCALABLE LENTIVIRAL VECTOR PRODUCTION SYSTEM COMPATIBLE WITH INDUSTRIAL PHARMACEUTICAL APPLICATIONS

Patent Proprietor:

Genethon

Opponents:

Oxford BioMedica (UK) Limited GlaxoSmithKline Intellectual Property Development Limited Miltenyi Biotec GmbH Ricker, Mathias Strawman Limited Hoffmann Eitle James Poole Limited Patentanwälte Isenbruck Bösl Hörschler PartG mbB

Headword:

Industrial scalable lentiviral vector/GENETHON

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 100(1)

Keyword:

Missing statement of grounds of appeal

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar GERMANY

Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 2091/21 - 3.3.08

D E C I S I O N of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.08 of 29 April 2022

Appellant: Genethon

(Patent Proprietor)

1 bis rue de l'Internationale

91000 Evry (FR)

Representative: Cabinet Becker et Associés

25, rue Louis le Grand

75002 Paris (FR)

Respondent: Oxford BioMedica (UK) Limited

(Opponent 1) Windrush Court Transport Way

Oxford

Oxfordshire OX4 6LT (GB)

Representative: D Young & Co LLP

120 Holborn

London EC1N 2DY (GB)

Respondent: GlaxoSmithKline Intellectual Property

(Opponent 2) Development Limited 980 Great West Road

Brentford Middlesex TW8 9GS (GB)

Representative: Kim, Young In Timothy

GlaxoSmithKline Global Patents GSK House (CN9.25.1) 980 Great West Road

Brentford, Middlesex TW8 9GS (GB)

Respondent: Miltenyi Biotec GmbH

Friedrich-Ebert-Str 68

(Opponent 3) Filedicin-Ebelt-Str 00

51429 Bergisch-Gladbach (DE)

Representative: Kisters, Michael Marcus

Miltenyi Biotec B.V. & Co. KG

Friedrich-Ebert Strasse 68 51429 Bergisch Gladbach (DE)

Respondent: Ricker, Mathias

(Opponent 4) Wallinger, Ricker, Schlotter, Tostmann

Zweibrückenstr. 5-7 80331 Munich (DE)

Representative: Oetke, Cornelia

Wallinger Ricker Schlotter Tostmann

Patent- und Rechtsanwälte Partnerschaft mbB

Zweibrückenstraße 5-7 80331 München (DE)

Respondent: Strawman Limited Orchard Lea,

(Opponent 5)

Horns Lane,
Combe, Whitney,

Oxfordshire OX29 8NH (GB)

Representative: CMS Cameron McKenna Nabarro

Olswang LLP Cannon Place 78 Cannon Street London EC4N 6AF (GB)

Respondent: Hoffmann Eitle

(Opponent 6) Patent- und Rechtsanwälte PartmbB

Arabellastrasse 30 81925 München (DE)

Representative: Hoffmann Eitle

Patent- und Rechtsanwälte PartmbB

Arabellastraße 30 81925 München (DE)

Respondent: James Poole Limited

(Opponent 7) One Southampton Row

London WC1B 5HA (GB)

Representative: Carpmaels & Ransford LLP

One Southampton Row London WC1B 5HA (GB)

Respondent: Patentanwälte Isenbruck Bösl Hörschler PartG mbB

Prinzregentenstrasse 68

81675 München (DE)

Representative: Lahrtz, Fritz

(Opponent 8)

Simmons & Simmons LLP Prinzregentenstraße 68 81675 München (DE) Decision under appeal: Decision of the Opposition Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 14 September 2021 revoking European patent No. 2782997

pursuant to Article 101(3)(b) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman B. Stolz
Members: P. Julià

R. Winkelhofer

- 1 - T 2091/21

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of an opposition division posted on 14 September 2021 to revoke the European patent 2 782 997 with the title "Scalable lentiviral vector production system compatible with industrial pharmaceutical applications".
- II. The patent proprietor (hereinafter "the appellant") filed a notice of appeal on 24 November 2021 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 14 February 2022, the board informed the appellant that apparently no written statement of grounds of appeal had been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

- 1. No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rules 99(2) and 126(2) EPC.
- 2. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

- 2 - T 2091/21

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



L. Malécot-Grob

B. Stolz

Decision electronically authenticated