

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 10 August 2022**

Case Number: T 0286/22 - 3.3.05

Application Number: 16879381.8

Publication Number: 3396750

IPC: H01M4/62, H01M4/13, H01M10/052,
C08K3/08, C08L55/04, C08L33/08,
C08F236/06, C08F236/10,
C08L33/26, C08L9/06,
H01M10/0525

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
BINDER COMPOSITION FOR SECONDARY BATTERY, AND ELECTRODE FOR
SECONDARY BATTERY AND LITHIUM SECONDARY BATTERY COMPRISING THE
SAME

Patent Proprietor:
LG Chem, Ltd.

Opponent:
Strawman Limited

Headword:
Binder composition/LG CHEM

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 99(2), 101(1), 126(2)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0286/22 - 3.3.05

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.05
of 10 August 2022

Appellant:
(Patent Proprietor)

LG Chem, Ltd.
128 Yeoui-daero
Yeongdeungpo-gu
Seoul 07336 (KR)

Representative:

Goddar, Heinz J.
Boehmert & Boehmert
Anwaltpartnerschaft mbB
Pettenkoferstrasse 22
80336 München (DE)

Respondent:
(Opponent)

Strawman Limited
Orchard Lea,
Horns Lane,
Combe, Whitney,
Oxfordshire OX29 8NH (GB)

Representative:

Vossius & Partner
Patentanwälte Rechtsanwälte mbB
Siebertstrasse 3
81675 München (DE)

Decision under appeal:

**Decision of the Opposition Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 8 December 2021
revoking European patent No. 3396750 pursuant to
Article 101(3) (b) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chair	E. Bendl
Members:	T. Burkhardt
	R. Winkelhofer

Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the opposition division posted on 8 December 2021.

II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 3 February 2022 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.

III. By communication of 3 May 2022, receipt of which was confirmed by mailbox delivery, the appellant's attention was drawn to the missing statement of grounds, and to the legal consequence that the appeal was likely to be rejected as inadmissible. Any observations would have to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.

IV. No such observations were received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement of grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit of Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rules 99(2) and 126(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chair:



C. Vodz

E. Bendl

Decision electronically authenticated