

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 24 August 2022**

Case Number: T 1251/22 - 3.5.07

Application Number: 16804128.3

Publication Number: 3304469

IPC: G06F17/20, G06Q30/00,
G06Q30/02, H04M1/64

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

SYSTEM AND METHOD OF A CUSTOMER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Applicant:

Gorny, Tomas

Headword:

Missing statement of grounds/GORNY

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - (no) - missing statement of grounds



Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1251/22 - 3.5.07

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.07
of 24 August 2022

Appellant: Gorny, Tomas
(Applicant) 8800 E Chaparral Road 300
Scottsdale, AZ 85250 (US)

Representative: Barker Brettell LLP
100 Hagley Road
Edgbaston
Birmingham B16 8QQ (GB)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 9 December 2021
refusing European patent application
No. 16804128.3 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC**

Composition of the Board:

Chair J. Geschwind
Members: C. Barel-Faucheux
P. San-Bento Furtado

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the examining division to refuse European patent application No. 16 804 128.3, posted on 9 December 2021.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 18 February 2022 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 24 May 2022, which was delivered via the EPO Mailbox, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received within the deadline set.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement of grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chair:



S. Lichtenvort

J. Geschwind

Decision electronically authenticated