

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 5 February 2024**

Case Number: T 1837/23 - 3.4.03

Application Number: 19219275.5

Publication Number: 3675103

IPC: G09G3/32, G09G3/3225,
G09G3/3233

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

DISPLAY DEVICE AND DRIVING METHOD THEREOF

Applicant:

Samsung Display Co., Ltd.

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1837/23 - 3.4.03

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.4.03
of 5 February 2024

Appellant: Samsung Display Co., Ltd.
(Applicant) 1, Samsung-ro,
Giheung-gu,
Yongin-si,
Gyeonggi-do 17113 (KR)

Representative: Taor, Simon Edward William
Venner Shipley LLP
200 Aldersgate
London EC1A 4HD (GB)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 10 May 2023
refusing European patent application No.
19219275.5 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman T. Häusser
Members: J. Thomas
T. Karamanli

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the refusal of European patent application No. 19219275.5 posted on 10 May 2023.
- II. The appellant filed the notice of appeal on 20 July 2023 and paid the appeal fee on the same day. No statement of grounds of appeal was filed.
- III. By a communication of the board's registrar dated 27 October 2023, the appellant was informed that no statement of grounds of appeal had been filed and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC.

The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.

- IV. No reply was received. No request for re-establishment of rights was filed.

Reasons for the Decision

1. As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed and as the notice of appeal does not contain anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds of appeal according to Article 108, third sentence, EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



S. Sánchez Chiquero

T. Häusser

Decision electronically authenticated