# BESCHWERDEKAMMERN PATENTAMTS

# BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

#### Internal distribution code:

- (A) [ ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ ] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

# Datasheet for the decision of 12 April 2024

Case Number: T 0051/24 - 3.5.07

17809511.3 Application Number:

3469488 Publication Number:

G06F16/901, G06F16/22 IPC:

Language of the proceedings: EN

#### Title of invention:

DATA STORAGE SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PERFORMING SAME

### Applicant:

Informatique Holistec Inc.

### Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

## Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - (no) - missing statement of grounds



# Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar GERMANY Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0

Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0051/24 - 3.5.07

DECISION
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.07
of 12 April 2024

Appellant: Informatique Holistec Inc.
(Applicant) 1155 rue Metcalfe, bureau 1500
Montreal, QC H3B 2V6 (CA)

Representative: Lavoix

Bayerstraße 83 80335 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 12 July 2023

refusing European patent application No. 17809511.3 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

### Composition of the Board:

Chair J. Geschwind

Members: P. San-Bento Furtado

C. Barel-Faucheux

- 1 - T 0051/24

## Summary of Facts and Submissions

- The appeal is directed against the decision of the examining division to refuse European patent application No. 17 809 511.3, posted on 12 July 2023.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 12 September 2023 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 11 January 2024, which was delivered via the EPO Mailbox, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed. It was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received within the deadline set.

#### Reasons for the Decision

No written statement of grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 127(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

- 2 - T 0051/24

# Order

# For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chair:



S. Lichtenvort

J. Geschwind

Decision electronically authenticated