

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 20 January 2025**

Case Number: T 0869/24 - 3.5.01

Application Number: 18728843.6

Publication Number: 3625738

IPC: G06Q10/06

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

MICROPROCESSOR INCLUDING A MODEL OF AN ENTERPRISE

Applicant:

Lyras, Dimitris

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0

Case Number: T 0869/24 - 3.5.01

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.01
of 20 January 2025

Appellant: Lyras, Dimitris
(Applicant) 7 Albion Gate
Bayswater Road
London W2 2LF (GB)

Representative: Manitz Finsterwald
Patent- und Rechtsanwaltspartnerschaft mbB
Martin-Greif-Strasse 1
80336 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 19 January 2024
refusing European patent application No.
18728843.6 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman M. Höhn
Members: R. Moser
L. Basterreix

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the examining division, dated 19 January 2024, refusing European patent application No. 18728843.6.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 19 March 2024 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 4 July 2024, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- In the same communication, the Board stated that it assumed, unless contradicted by the appellant in the specified period, that the appellant's request for oral proceedings did not apply to the issue of inadmissibility of the appeal because no grounds of appeal had been filed in due time.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC. In addition, the notice of appeal does not contain anything that could

be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



T. Buschek

M. Höhn

Decision electronically authenticated