

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 29 July 2025**

Case Number: T 0610/25 - 3.5.07

Application Number: 18815870.3

Publication Number: 3710954

IPC: G06F16/28

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

INTERACTIVE REPRESENTATION OF CONTENT FOR RELEVANCE DETECTION
AND REVIEW

Applicant:

Cogi, Inc.

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal (no) - missing statement of grounds



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0

Case Number: T 0610/25 - 3.5.07

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.07
of 29 July 2025

Appellant: Cogi, Inc.
(Applicant) 2995 Redondo Court
Santa Ynez, CA 93460 (US)

Representative: Klickow & Wetzel PartGmbH
Jessenstraße 4
22767 Hamburg (DE)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 23 December
2024 refusing European patent application No.
18815870.3 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chair J. Geschwind
Members: C. Barel-Faucheux
M. Jaedicke

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the examining division to refuse European patent application No. 18 815 870.3, posted on 23 December 2024.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 20 February 2025 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. The appellant filed a withdrawal of the appeal on 24 April 2025.
- IV. By communication of 30 April 2025, which was delivered via the EPO Mailbox, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- V. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

1. The withdrawal of the appeal was filed after the period for filing the statement of grounds had expired.
2. No written statement of grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In

addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chair:



S. Lichtenvort

J. Geschwind

Decision electronically authenticated