

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 28 July 2025**

Case Number: T 0706/25 - 3.5.05

Application Number: 17878351.0

Publication Number: 3553977

IPC: H04J3/16

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Method and apparatus for sending and receiving multiframe,
device, system, and storage medium

Applicant:

ZTE Corporation

Headword:

Sending and receiving multiframe/ZTE

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 99(2), 101(1), 126(2)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - (no): missing statement of grounds



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0

Case Number: T 0706/25 - 3.5.05

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.05
of 28 July 2025

Appellant:
(Applicant)

ZTE Corporation
ZTE Plaza
Keji Road South
Hi-Tech Industrial Park
Nanshan
Shenzhen, Guangdong 518057 (CN)

Representative:

Aipex B.V.
Vestdijk 51
5611 CA Eindhoven (NL)

Decision under appeal:

**Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 11 December
2024 refusing European patent application
No. 17878351.0 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chair K. Bengi-Akyürek
Members: P. Tabery
R. Romandini

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the examining division to refuse the present European patent application.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 11 February 2025 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 21 May 2025, electronically notified via the EPO Mailbox, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

1. No statement of grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC.
2. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds of appeal pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC.

3. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chair:



B. Brückner

K. Bengi-Akyürek

Decision electronically authenticated