

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 10 September 2025**

Case Number: T 0843/25 - 3.2.01

Application Number: 19207069.6

Publication Number: 3650335

IPC: B64C13/40, B64C13/50,
B64C13/28, B64C13/42

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR DEPLOYING A FLIGHT CONTROL SURFACE

Applicant:

Bombardier Inc.

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 99(2), 101(1), 103(3)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0

Case Number: T 0843/25 - 3.2.01

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.01
of 10 September 2025

Appellant: Bombardier Inc.
(Applicant) 400 Côte Vertu Road West
Dorval, Québec H4S 1Y9 (CA)

Representative: Holmes, Matthew William
Cawston Field IP Ltd.
Hillside
Main Street
Frankton, Warwickshire CV23 9PB (GB)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 18 December
2024 refusing European patent application No.
19207069.6 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman G. Pricolo
Members: A. Wagner
S. Fernández de Córdoba

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division to refuse the European patent application No. 19207069.6 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal in due time on 13 February 2025 and the appeal fee was paid (Article 108 EPC, first sentence).
- III. By a communication of 4 July 2025 the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that no statement of grounds was filed in due time (Article 108 EPC, second sentence), and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed about the possibility of a 50% reimbursement of the appeal fee should the appeal be withdrawn before expiry of a two month period set with said communication according to Rule 103(3) EPC.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

The appellant failed to file a written statement setting out the grounds of appeal within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC. In addition, the notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



M. Schalow

G. Pricolo

Decision electronically authenticated