

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 28 May 2026**

Case Number: T 0460/26 - 3.5.06

Application Number: 19725619.1

Publication Number: 3966751

IPC: G06N10/20, G06N10/40

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
SINGLE LINE QUBIT CONTROL

Applicant:
Google LLC

Headword:
Appeal inadmissible/Google LLC

Relevant legal provisions:
EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 99(2), 101(1), 127(2)

Keyword:
Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0

Case Number: T 0460/26 - 3.5.06

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.06
of 28 May 2026

Appellant: Google LLC
(Patent Proprietor) 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway
Mountain View, CA 94043 (US)

Representative: Thorniley, Peter
Venner Shipley LLP
TIDE Bankside
8 Emerson Street
London SE1 9DU (GB)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted/electronically
transmitted on 9 October 2025 granting European
patent application No. 19725619.1 pursuant to
Article 97(1) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:
Chairman M. Müller
Members: R. Moser
E. Mille

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the examining division posted on 9 October 2025.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 7 November 2025 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 19 March 2026, notified to the appellant via the EPO Mailbox Service on the same day, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement of grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 127(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



L. Stridde

M. Müller

Decision electronically authenticated