Internal distribution code:

(A) [-] Publication in OJ
(B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
(C) [-] To Chairmen
(D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 4 September 2026

Case Number: T 0767/26 - 3.2.02

Application Number: 20888220.9

Publication Number: 4057893

IPC: A61B5/00

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
SPECTRAL IMAGING

Applicant:
Bruin Biometrics, LLC

Relevant legal provisions:
EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 99(2), 101(1), 126(2)

Keyword:
Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

This datasheet is not part of the Decisior
It can be changed at any time and without notic

Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets

Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0

Case Number: T 0767/26 - 3.2.02

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.02
of 4 September 2026

Appellant: (Applicant)	Bruin Biometrics, LLC 1801 Century Park East Suite 460 Los Angeles, CA 90067 (US)
Representative:	Uexküll & Stolberg Partnerschaft von Patent- und Rechtsanwälten mbB Beselerstraße 4 22607 Hamburg (DE)
Decision under appeal:	**Decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office posted/electronically transmitted on 11 December 2025 refusing European patent application No. 20888220.9 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman	M. Alvazzi Delfrate
Members:	S. Dennler
	W. Ungler

Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal is against the decision of the Examining Division posted on 11 December 2025 refusing European patent application No. 20888220.9 pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.

II. The appellant (the applicant) filed a notice of appeal on 28 January 2026 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.

III. No statement of grounds was filed.

IV. By a communication dated 28 May 2026, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108 EPC, third sentence in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.

V. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement of grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar: The Chairman:

A. Chavinier-Tomsic M. Alvazzi Delfrate

Decision electronically authenticated