

Veröffentlichung im Amtsblatt	Ja/Nein
Publication in the Official Journal	Yes/No
Publication au Journal Officiel	Oui/Non



Aktenzeichen / Case Number / N° du recours : T 510/88 - 3.3.1

Anmeldenummer / Filing No / N° de la demande : 84 301 448.1

Veröffentlichungs-Nr. / Publication No / N° de la publication : 0 118 307

Bezeichnung der Erfindung: Filler wire for use in arc-spraying

Title of invention:

Titre de l'invention :

Klassifikation / Classification / Classement : C23C 7/00

ENTSCHEIDUNG / DECISION

vom / of / du 5 December 1988

Anmelder / Applicant / Demandeur : TELATEK OY

Patentinhaber / Proprietor of the patent /
Titulaire du brevet :

Einsprechender / Opponent / Opposant :

Stichwort / Headword / Référence :

EPÜ / EPC / CBE Article 108, Rule 65(1)

Schlagwort / Keyword / Mot clé : "Missing Statement of Grounds"

Leitsatz / Headnote / Sommaire



Case Number : T 510/88 - 3.3.1

D E C I S I O N
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.1
of 5 December 1988

Appellant : TELATEK OY
Pajakatu 5
SF-92100 Raahe

Representative : Carpmael, John William Maurice
CARPMAELS & RANSFORD
43 Bloomsbury Square
London, WC1A 2RA
GB

Decision under appeal : Decision of Examining Division 018
of the European Patent Office
dated 13 May 1988 refusing European
patent application No. 84 301 448.1
pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC

Composition of the Board :

Chairman : K. Jahn
Members : R. Andrews
C. Payraudeau

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal contests the decision of the Examining Division 018 of the European Patent Office dated 13 May 1988 refusing the European patent application No. 84 301 448.1. The decision was dispatched by registered letter with advice of delivery to the applicant on the day it was given. The Appellant filed a notice of appeal by a letter received on 14 July 1988, and paid the fee for appeal on the same day. No Statement of Grounds was filed. The notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a Statement of Grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.

- II. In a letter dated 6 September 1988 the Appellant informed the Board that, although the applicants have filed a notice of appeal, it has been decided not to proceed with this application.

Reasons for the Decision

As the Appellant has voluntarily omitted to file a written statement setting out the grounds of appeal, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 65(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons, it is decided that:


The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:



F. Klein

The Chairman:



K. Jahn