BESCHWERDEKAMMERN DES EUROPÄISCHEN PATENTAMTS

BOARDS OF APPEAL OF THE EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE

.

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DE L'OFFICE EUROPEEN DES BREVETS

A	в	С	x

File No.:	Т 0322/91 - 3.2.5
Application No.:	85 114 838.7
Publication No.:	0 187 239
Classification:	B65H 67/06
Title of invention:	Bobbin loading apparatus

### **DECISION** of 14 October 1993

Applicant:	
Proprietor of the patent:	Machinenfabrik Rieter AG
Opponent:	Wilhelm Stahlecker GmbH

Headword:

**EPC:** Art. 111(1) EPC

Keyword: "Revocation of patent on request of proprietor"

Headnote Catchwords Europäisches Patentamt European Patent Office Office européen des brevets

<u>)</u>

Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

#### **Case Number:** T 0322/91 - 3.2.5

#### DECISION of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.5 of 14 October 1993

Appellant: (Opponent) Wilhelm Stahlecker GmbH D - 73326 Deggingen (DE)

Representative:

Wilhelm & Dauster Patentanwälte European Patent Attorneys Hospitalstrasse 8 D - 70174 Stuttgart (DE)

<b>Respondent:</b> (Proprietor of the patent)	Maschinenfabrik Rieter AG Postfach 290		
	CH - 8406 Winterthur (CH)		

Representative:

Canzler, Rolf c/o Rieter Ingolstadt Spinnereimaschinenbau Aktiengesellschaft Postfach 10 09 60 Friedrich-Ebert-Strasse 84 D - 85046 Ingolstadt (DE)

Decision under appeal:

Decision of the Opposition Division of the European Patent Office dated 22 March 1991 rejecting the opposition filed against European patent No. 0 187 239 pursuant to Article 102(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman:	c.v.	Payraudeau
Members:	H.J.	Seidenschwarz
	H.P.	Ostertag

- 1 -

## Summary of Facts and Submissions

¥

- I. The Appellant (Opponent) lodged an appeal against the decision of the Opposition Division to reject the opposition against European patent No. 0 187 239.
- II. The Respondent (Proprietor) informed the Board of Appeal with a letter of 28 September 1993, that he is no longer interested in the prosecution of the patent application. This letter was followed up by a telecopy dated 12 October 1993, wherein the respondent stated that he withdraws the patent application in suit.

## Reasons for the Decision

- 1. Since the European patent application has already proceeded to grant, the Board interprets the statement of the Respondent, that he withdraws the patent application, as a request for revocation of the patent.
- 2. According to established jurisprudence of the Boards of Appeal (cf. for example T 73/84, OJ EPO 1985, 241, T 186/84, OJ EPO 1986, 79, T 237/86, OJ EPO 1988, 261 and T 459/88, OJ EPO 1990, 425), when both the Appellant and the Respondent are agreed that the patent should be revoked, which is presently the case, the Board may exercise its power under Article 111(1) EPC to revoke the patent.

Í,

Order

# For these reasons it is decided that:

The contested decision is set aside, and the European patent No. 0 187 239 is revoked.

The Registrar:

lon

A. Townend

The Chairman:

4

C. Payraudeau