BESCHWERDEKAMMERN DES EUROPÄISCHEN PATENTAMTS BOARDS OF APPEAL OF THE EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DE L'OFFICE EUROPEEN DES BREVETS

Publication in the Official Journal Yes / No

File Number:

T 672/91 - 3.2.3

Application No.:

85 200 628.7

Publication No.:

0 162 509

Title of invention:

Process and apparatus for extracting liquids from

aggregates and from gas/vapour mixtures

Classification:

F26B 21/08, B01D 53/26

**D E C I S I O N**of 10 April 1992

Proprietor of the patent:

S.A. SEPARGAZ

Opponent:

Linde Aktiengesellschaft

Headword:

**EPC** 

Articles 102(3)(a), 113(2)

Keyword:

"Revocation at the request of the proprietor"

Headnote

Europäisches Patentamt European Patent Office Office européen des brevets

Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Case Number: T 672/91 - 3.2.3

D E C I S I O N
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.3
of 10 April 1992

Appellant :

Linde Aktiengesellschaft, Wiesbaden

(Opponent)

Zentrale Patentabteilung

W - 8023 Höllriegelskreuth (DE)

Respondent:

S.A. SEPARGAZ

(Proprietor of the patent)

Rue Aldringen, 11

L - 2960 Luxembourg (LU)

Representative :

Van Malderen, Michel

p.a. Freylinger & Associés 22 avenue J.S. Bach (bte 43) B - 1080 Bruxelles (BE)

Decision under appeal:

Interlocutory decision of the Opposition Division

of the European Patent Office dated 26 June 1991

concerning maintenance of European patent

No. 0 162 509 in amended form.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman:

C.T. Wilson

Members :

H. Andrae

L.C. Mancini

## Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. In its decision dated 26 June 1991 the Opposition Division maintained the European patent No. 0 162 509 in amended form.
- II. The Appellants (Opponents) appealed against the decision of the Opposition Division on 3 September 1991, requesting that the patent be revoked. The appeal fee was paid on 3 September 1991 and the Statement of Grounds filed on 4 November 1991.
- III. In a letter dated 2 April 1992 the Respondents (proprietors of the patent) likewise requested revocation of the patent.

## Reasons for the Decision

- 1. The appeal complies with Articles 106 to 108 and Rule 64 EPC and is admissible.
- 2. The Respondents request the revocation of their European patent. This has to be construed as a statement meaning that they no longer approve of the text in which the patent was granted and that they also refrain from submitting an amended text (cf. decision T 186/84; OJ EPO 1986, 79).
- 3. Since it follows from the provision according to Article 113(2) EPC that a European patent cannot be maintained against the proprietor's will, the present European patent has, therefore, to be revoked (cf. T 73/84; OJ EPO 1985, 241).

## Order

For these reasons, it is decided that:

- 1. The decision under appeal is set aside.
- 2. The European patent No. 0 162 509 is revoked.

The Registrar:

N. Maslin

The Chairman:

C.T. Wilson

Lan