

Publication in the Official Journal ~~Yes~~ / No

File Number: T 162/92 - 3.4.1  
Application No.: 87 305 469.6  
Publication No.: 0 251 598  
Title of invention: Multiple cell, two terminal photovoltaic device employing  
adhered cells

Classification: H01L 31/06

DECISION  
of 3 July 1992

Applicant: THE STANDARD OIL COMPANY

Headword:

EPC Article 108, Rule 65

Keyword: Missing statement of grounds

Headnote



Case Number : T 162/92 - 3.4.1

**D E C I S I O N**  
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.4.1  
of 3 July 1992

**Appellant :** THE STANDARD OIL COMPANY  
200 Public Square, 36-F-3454  
Cleveland  
Ohio 44114 (US)

**Representative :** Ryan, Edward Terrence  
BP INTERNATIONAL LIMITED  
Patents & Agreements Division  
Chertsey Road  
Sunbury-on-Thames  
Middlesex TW16 7LN (GB)

**Decision under appeal :** Decision of Examining Division of the European  
Patent Office dated 19 September 1991 refusing  
European patent application No. 87 305 469.6  
pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.

**Composition of the Board :**

**Chairman :** G.D. Paterson  
**Members :** R.K. Shukla  
H. Reich

### Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal contests the decision of the Examining Division 048 of the European Patent Office dated 19 September 1991 refusing the European patent application No. 87 305 469.6. The decision was dispatched by registered letter with advice of delivery to the Applicant on the day it was given. The Appellant filed a notice of appeal by a fax received on 18 November 1991 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day. No Statement of Grounds was received. The notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a Statement of Grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.
- II. By a communication dated 11 March 1992 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the Registry of the Board informed the Appellant that no Statement of Grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The Appellant was invited to file observations within two months.
- III. No answer has been given to the Registry's communication.

### Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 65(1) EPC).

### Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:



M. Beer

The Chairman:



G.D. Paterson

Publication in the Official Journal ~~Yes~~ / No

File Number: T 156/92 - 3.3.1

Application No.: 88 120 056.2

Publication No.: 0 319 846

Title of invention: Method for manufacturing a paper or cardboard product and  
a product manufactured by the method.

Classification: D21H 5/00

D E C I S I O N  
of 22 June 1992

Applicant: ENSO-GUTZEIT OY

Headword:

EPC Article 108, Rule 65(1)

Keyword: "Missing Statement of Grounds"

Headnote



Case Number : T 156/92 - 3.3.1

**D E C I S I O N**  
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.1  
of 22 June 1992

**Appellant :** ENSO-GUTZEIT OY  
Kanavaranta 1  
SF-00160 Helsinki (FI)

**Representative :** Weitzel, Wolfgang, Dr.-Ing.  
St. Pöltener Strasse 43  
W-7920 Heidenheim (DE)

**Decision under appeal :** Decision of Examining Division of the European  
Patent Office dated 17 September 1991 refusing  
European patent application No. 88 120 056.2  
pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.

**Composition of the Board :**

**Chairman :** K. Jahn  
**Members :** R. Spangenberg  
J.-C. Saisset

**Summary of Facts and Submissions**

- I. The appeal contests the decision of the Examining Division 024 of the European Patent Office dated 17 September 1991 refusing the European patent application No. 88 120 056.2. The decision was dispatched by registered letter with advice of delivery to the applicant on the day it was given. The Appellant filed a notice of appeal by a letter received on 9 November 1991 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day. No Statement of Grounds was filed. The notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as Statement of Grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.
  
- II. By a communication dated 11 March 1992 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the Registry of the Board informed the Appellant that no Statement of Grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The Appellant was invited to file observations within two months.
  
- III. No answer has been given to the Registry's communication.

**Reasons for the Decision**

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 65(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons, it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:

E. Görgmaier

K. Jahn