

**Internal distribution code:**

- (A) [ ] Publication in OJ  
(B) [ ] To Chairmen and Members  
(C) [X] To Chairmen

**D E C I S I O N**  
of 17 January 1994

**Case Number:** T 1114/92 - 3.4.2

**Application Number:** 87109885.1

**Publication Number:** 0254141

**IPC:** G01L 19/08

**Language of the proceedings:** EN

**Title of invention:**

Multiple transducer for measuring pressure in gas filled cables

**Applicant:**

Nicotra Sistemi S.p.A.

**Opponent:**

-

**Headword:**

-

**Relevant legal norms:**

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 65(1)

**Keyword:**

"Missing Statement of Grounds

**Decisions cited:**

-

**Catchword:**

-



Case Number: T 1114/92 - 3.4.2

**D E C I S I O N**  
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.4.2  
of 17 January 1994

**Appellant:** Nicotra Sistemi S.p.A.  
Via Vincenzo Monti, 23  
I - 20016 Pero (Milan) (IT)

**Representative:** Liesegang, Roland, Dr.-Ing.  
Forrester & Boehmert  
Franz-Joseph-Straße 38  
D - 80801 München (DE)

**Decision under appeal:** Decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office dated 14 July 1992 refusing European patent application No. 87 109 885.1 pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.

**Composition of the Board:**

**Chairman:** E. Turrini  
**Members:** C. Black  
M. Lewenton

### Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal contests the decision of the Examining Division 036 of the European Patent Office dated 14 July 1992, refusing the European patent No. 87 109 885.1 (publication No. EP-A-0 254 141). The decision was dispatched by registered letter with advice of delivery on the day it was given. The Appellant filed a notice of appeal on 15 September 1992, and paid the fee for appeal on the same day. The notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a Statement of Grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.
- II. By a communication dated 22 January 1993, the Registry of the Board informed the Appellant that no Statement of Grounds has been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The Appellant was invited to file observations within two months.
- III. No answer has been given to the Registry's communication.

### Reasons for the Decision

As no written Statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 65(1) EPC).

**Order**

**For these reasons, it is decided that:**

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:

P. Martorana

E. Turrini