

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
(B) [] To Chairmen and Members
(C) [X] To Chairmen

D E C I S I O N
of 4 December 1995

Case Number: T 0746/95 - 3.4.2

Application Number: 92300078.0

Publication Number: 0495569

IPC: G01J 3/02, G02B 5/00

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
Stray light trap in a monochromator

Applicant:
BECKMAN INSTRUMENTS, INC.

Opponent:
-

Headword:
-

Relevant legal provisions:
EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 65(1)

Keyword:
-

Decisions cited:
-

Catchword:
-



Case Number: T 0746/95 - 3.4.2

D E C I S I O N
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.4.2
of 4 December 1995

Appellant: BECKMAN INSTRUMENTS, INC.
2500 Harbor Boulevard
Box 3100
Fullerton
California 92634-3100 (US)

Representative: Ede, Eric
Fitzpatricks
4 West Regent Street
Glasgow G2 1RS
Scotland (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office dated 4 April 1995 refusing European patent application No. 92 300 078.0 pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: E. Turrini
Members: M. Chomentowski
B. J. Schachenmann

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal contests the decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office dated 4 April 1995 refusing the European patent application No. 92 300 078.0. The decision was dispatched by registered letter with advice of delivery to the applicant on the day it was given. The Appellant filed a notice of appeal by a letter received on 13 June 1995 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day. No Statement Grounds was filed. The notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a Statement of Grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.
- II. By a communication dated 15 September 1995 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the Registry of the Board informed the Appellant that no Statement of Grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The Appellant was invited to file observations within two months.
- III. No answer has been given to the Registry's communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 65(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:

P. Martorana

E. Turrini