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Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office posted on 18 February 2016 refusing the request for re-establishment of rights pursuant to Article 122 and Rule 136 EPC dated 17 June 2011 in respect of the period for filing a request for further processing under Article 121 and Rule 135 EPC.
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D. Prietzl-Funk
Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division of 18 February 2016.

II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 27 April 2016 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.

III. By communication of 5 August 2016, received by the appellant on 9 August 2016, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that no written statement of grounds of appeal had been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC.

IV. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication and that the Board assumes that the appellant's request for oral proceedings does not apply to the issue of inadmissibility of the appeal because no grounds of appeal have been filed in due time.

V. The appellant did not react to this communication.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC.

In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a
statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.
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