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Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. In its decision dated 23 January 2001 the Opposition Division maintained the European patent No. 0 583 836 in amended form.

II. The Appellant (Opponent) filed a Notice of Appeal against the decision of the Opposition Division on 16 March 2001. The appeal fee was paid on 19 March 2001.

No Statement of Grounds of Appeal. The Notice of Appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a Statement of Grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.

III. By a communication dated 6 July 2001 and sent by registered post, the Registry of the Board informed the Appellant that no Statement of Grounds has been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The Appellant was invited to file observations within two months.

IV. The Appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 65(1) EPC).
Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar: The Chairman:

G. Rauh P. Krasa