DECISION
of 11 June 2002

Case Number: T 0623/01 - 3.2.1
Application Number: 94200818.6
Publication Number: 0618373
IPC: F15B 15/28

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
Position indicator

Patentee:
HYDRAUDYNE CYLINDERS B.V.

Opponent:
Hydrowa B.V.
Grielespoor Machinefabriek B.V.

Headword: -

Relevant legal provisions:
EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 65(1)

Keyword: "Missing Statement of Grounds"

Decisions cited: -

Catchword: -
Case Number: T 0623/01 - 3.2.1

DECISION
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.1
of 11 June 2002

Appellant:
HYDRAUDYNE CYLINDERS B.V.
Kruisbroeksestraat 1
NL-5281 RV Boxtel (NL)

Representative:
Eveleens Maarse, Pieter
Arnold & Siedsma
Advocaten en Octroogemachtigden
Sweelinckplein 1
NL-2517 GK Den Haag (NL)

Respondent:
Hydrova B.V.
Hoppenkuil 6
Postbus 9707
NL-5602 LS Eindhoven (NL)

Representative:
von Westenbrugge, Andries
Nederlandsch Octrooi bureau
Scheveningseweg 82
P.O. Box 29720
NL-2502 LS Den Haag

(Opponent)
Griekspoore Machinefabriek B.V.
Ijweg 1547
NL-2152 ND Nieuw Vennep (NL)

Representative:
Brookhuis, Hendrik Jan Arnold
Exter Polak & Charlouis B.V.
P.O. Box 3241
NL-2280 GE Rijswijk (NL)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Opposition Division of the European Patent Office posted 26 March 2001 revoking European patent No. 0 618 373 pursuant to Article 102(1) EPC.

Composition of the Board:
Chairman: F. Gumbel
Members: S. Crane
H. Preglau
Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal contests the decision of the Opposition Division of the European Patent Office dated 21 March 2001, revoking the European patent No. 0 618 373.

The written decision was dispatched by registered letter with advice of delivery on 26 March 2001.

On 23 May 2001 the Appellant filed a notice of appeal by and paid the fee for appeal at the same time. No Statement of Grounds was filed. The notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a Statement of Grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.

II. By a communication dated 18 March 2002 and sent by registered post, the Registry of the Board informed the Appellant that no Statement of Grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The Appellant was invited to file observations within two months.

III. The Appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 65(1) EPC).
Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.
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